

No. 11, July Term, 1882

For Erection of a new
Township out of a part of

versus

Woodward Decatur
and Boggs Township.

Contents:

new Township. I cant tell you
the valuation put on the land in
what is to be in the new Township

I cant tell you the exact number
of scholars that will be deprived
of school by this new division

The new division does not leave
the schools about as they are now
in Decatur Township. It takes
off Ohio New Castle and Jeffries
School houses

I dont know how many scholars
will be taken from the New Castle
school by reason of the divide
I dont know where the line runs
whether it takes in winter or not.
There will not be any deprived
at the Jeffries School house.

I dont know how many scholars
will be deprived of school at the
Ohio school but quite a number
of them, I cant tell positively
how many

I dont think the portion of the land
that would remain in the old Township
would be any more valuable than
that in the new Township.

I cant see how the influx of

least expensive to own
~~Decatur~~ From my knowledge
of matters in Decatur Township
I deem it a grievance ^{and}
inconvenience to the Citizens of Deca-
tur Township to have the Township
divided as proposed.

In regard to voting if the divis-
ion was effected it would
leave a larger portion of the
voters to come as far as they
would now have to come if the
past was cut off. It would
leave the parties in no better con-
dition as regards voting than
they are now.

Cross examined by W. A. Chase
I am acquainted with the road
leading from Ashland College to
Hortland. There is only a mile
of that road in Decatur Township
and there is not as much travel
on that ~~road~~ ^{road} as other roads.
I don't think there would be more
than 1/2 mile of road taken out
of Decatur Township in the new
Township. I don't know how
much was spent on the portion,
the roads to be put in the

on those roads, not the tenth part of that which is in the rest of the Township. By the way I understand it, it will take three School houses from the Township. I judge the majority of it is unseated lands. The remaining portion has a great mining population. They constitute a burden to the Township and expense. The paupers are mostly or exclusively from the mining population. There is not one on the portion they are taking off. This abridgement if it were to take place it would deprive a part of the Citizens from having a School.

This would deprive them of the means to build new School houses.

The distance the voters have to travel in the park to be taken off is about 5 or 6 miles at the farthest.

Then this portion to be taken off is taken from Decatur Township the best portion as regards revenue to the Township and the

Depositions of Witness produced,
sworn and examined by me the
6th day of April A.D. 1885 at my
office at Philipsburg Centre Co Pa
between the hours of 8 O'clock A.M.^{and}
9 O'clock P.M. by virtue of the annexed
Rule of Court of Common Pleas for the
examination of Witnesses in a certain
cause then depending, in the Matter of
Erection of a New Township out of parts
of Woodward Decatur^{and} Boggs
Townships

Wm. A. Reams, being produced,
sworn, deposes and says I reside
in Decatur Township - I am Super-
visor of that Township. I have
heard of the proposed new Town-
ship. The parts I recognize of both
the townships of Boggs and De-
catur are very thinly populated,
to be taken for the new Township.
As to the character of the roads in
the part to be taken^{out} of Decatur
Township, they are easily kept
in repair and with little expense
with proportion to the roads in the
rest of the Township, the reason
is that there is very little travel.

mining population increased the price
of lands William A. Beames

Jacob Mock being produced, sworn
deposed and says - I am a
rescuer of Decatur Township
a justice of the Peace and Over-
seer of the Poor. I understand
the lines as proposed in the division
of the Township. The part proposed
to be taken off of Decatur Township
it is thinly populated. It consists
of mostly of unseated lands, more
than $\frac{2}{3}$ of it. The expense of keeping
the roads in repair in the part
proposed to be taken off will not
amount to $\frac{1}{3}$ as the mile of what
it takes in the rest of the Township.

The part to remain in Decatur
Township contains the mining pop-
ulation exclusively.

The manner in which the lines have
been drawn seem to indicate that
the parties purposely avoided
taking in the mining population^{and}
old worked out places leaving
us the old mining shanties and
abandoned houses for papers to in-

habit. The paupers of the Township are almost entirely from the mining section. By taking off the part proposed they would take from the Township the least expensive portion to manage^{and} leave the expensive and burdensome part in the old.

As to voting the extreme upper end would have a little farther to go than the extreme lower end the way it is now. That is three or four persons at the upper end would have the farther distance to go whilst about fifty at the lower end would have nearly the same distance. As to the voters of Decatur Township the proposed division as to the distance to the voting place would make no material change.

As to the schools it would throw us in a bad shape as to Decatur
{ we would have to build a school house for about four families

There were this Spring about 70
{ paupers in Decatur Township
and not one from the section pro-

posed to be taken off -

From my knowledge of the Township its location and affairs the proposed division would be undoubtedly a convenience to the Citizens of the Township and would in no wise be to their general advantage -

Cross examined by Mr Chase
I live in the North West corner of Decatur Township - I live about four miles from the proposed line of division. I know where the proposed line of division from the drafts I have in my possession I obtained the draft from Mr Murray and Gordon - The first idea I got from Mr Chase your honor the draft I got from Murray and Gordon does not indicate any track line -

I never saw the draft as returned by the viewers appointed by Court to lay out the new Township. All I know of the proposed new line is hear say - I went to Northdale I can't give the exact date but at the time of taking the depositions on

the part of the Petitioners, when
and where I got my information
in regard to these lines from
Mr Chase. I asked him to
show me the proposed line of
division, he had a map there
where he showed me and Mr
Kephart the proposed lines of
division of the Townships and
I wrote to Murray & Gordon to
send us another draft which
we exhibit here today.

I can't tell how many School
houses would be taken from
Sycamore Township -

I don't know how many scholars
would be deprived of schools in
the proposed new division except
the Ohio School house, there will
be four families cut off here
I can't say whether two of those
families send their children to
Eagle Eye in Boggs Township

Jacob Brock

G. P. G. Mattern being produced &
sworn deposes and says he

is a resident of Decatur Township
and has resided there 25 or 30
Years.

I have been made acquainted with
the proposed new lines by other parties
Mr Chase. showed me on the map.

I understand that Mr Chase is
the Atty for the Petitioners. The part
to be taken from Decatur Township
is very thinly populated. As re-
gards the expense in managing
that part of the Township to be
taken off it is very light in pro-
portion to the part to be left in.
We pay the school teachers in
the part to be taken of 5 dollars
less than in the part left in. I
am a school director have been
for 16 or 20 years in Decatur
Township. In the Ohio School, it
makes the big portions of present
school without a school house
and the nearest school to them

would be three miles or from two to three miles and then there wouldnt be sufficient number to make a school. The New Castle School would be, some of them two miles from the school at Coal Run, and none of them but what would be over a mile. Coal Run and Centre schools are both full. In a division of the Township there would be certain citizens deprived of a school.

The voters that are left in the Township - that is Farnville & Blairville in in the neighborhood of Brisbin at the present time have from one to two miles more distance to go than any of the rest of the Township as it now exists, to the Polls. The division would leave the most expensive and burdensome portion in the old Township. The portion to be taken off affords the most revenue

to the Township in proportion to the
expense of its management - I think
tho it would be a great burden
and inconvenient financially to the
Citizens of the Old Part of the Town-
ship -

Cross Examined by Mr Chase

I think it would leave about $\frac{2}{3}$
of the School on this side, with-
out a School House of the Ohio
School. I don't know how many
would be deprived of School at
the New Castle School House

There will not be any body
be deprived of School at the
Jeffries School House

I did not see the map of the
proposed new lines. My Memo-
randa was what I got from per-
sons telling me where it was ^{and}
Mr Chase showed me on the
map - I didn't see them survey
it and I did not see the map

the surveyors made
- E. P. G. Mattern

Abraham Goss being produced and
affirmed aforesaid and says
I am a resident of Boggs Town-
ship - The line of the proposed new
division was designated to me
by parties - The proposed division
would have considerable effect
on Boggs Township as far as
school purposes and not so
much on roads - The part to
be taken of Boggs is the least
expensive as to all purposes
It takes off the only patented
lands we have in the Township
In the new part, that is the part
proposed to be taken off, it would
take in about from seven to eight
families, that would have no
school. and would leave a

School house in the old part
that was moved a year or two ago
that there would be but one fam-
ily have any benefit of that

It would be an inconvenience
to the citizens of Boggs Township
to have the Township divided

Cross examined by Mr Chase
I live about 4 miles from the pro-
posed new line. The Eagle Eye
school would be the school that
would be interfered with by this
proposed division. There will be
families deprived of schools
by this division. The Eagle Eye
school would have from 1 mile to
one & half to go to the "Ohio School".
I know the fact that there are
children attending the schools in
Woodward Township from Boggs
Township or other wise have been
exonerated from School Taxes
because there was no school house

Those parties who are attending school
in Woodward Township from Briggs
would be in the new Township

A E Boss

Wm F Thompson. being produced
and sworn deponeth and says I
am a resident of Briggs Township
and have been for 25 years

I have been made acquainted with
the proposed lines of the new Town-
ship. The part proposed to be
taken off is the most thinly settled
portion of the Township and the
least expensive in all the de-
partments of any part of the Town-
ship. It affects the Township, as re-
gards its ~~share~~ ^{in two} ways, in one
way affects the Township by ~~and~~
erecting one school house ~~and~~
and the other in the increased taxes
It takes out the only increased

land in the Township. It leave
all the expense and burdensome
part in the old Township. I
consider it an aggravation ^{and}
burden to the citizens as regards
the expense &c I cant see any
point in which the proposed divi-
sion would be of any benefit to
the citizens of any part of Boggs
Township - The division would
entail confusion and expense in
the Township and create consider-
able dissatisfaction

Cross Examined by Mr Chase -
I live about three miles from the
proposed new line - I dont think
it would be any advantage to the
citizens in the proposed new part
to have it divided. I dont know that
a part of Boggs Township has been
struck off to Woodward Township
for School purposes. I dont
know that part of the citizens in Boggs

Township send their children to school in Woodward Township.

I got my information of the proposed new line from my neighbors and citizens around me and from my own personal knowledge I do not know where the line is

Re Examined in Chief

This information as to the proposed division line was given to me by parties who are interested in remonstrating against the proposed division and by parties who are petitioners and interested in having the division effected

There was no variance between the parties interested and remonstrating against the proposed division as to the location of the division line as pointed out to me and as I understand it to now. Then it was

5
from information that I received
from parties petitioning for and
interested in having the Township
divided and those demonstrating
against the division as to the loca-
tion of the proposed division line
that I have based my testimony

Re cross

I think I did not sign the peti-
tion for the division of the Township

I can't recollect that I ever
saw the petition. To the best of
my judgment I think I signed
a demonstration against the proposed
division and if there was any around
I signed it Wm J Thompson

R D Shrovalter being produced and
affirmed depose and says I am
a resident of Decatur Township

I have an idea where the proposed
division line runs by it being
pointed out to me on the map today

The part proposed to be taken from
~~the~~ Decatur Township, affords the
greatest revenue to the Township
in relation to the expense of its
management. It is the most thickly
populated portion of the Township.

The proposed division would leave
the mining property in the old park

The mining population are the
most expensive as regards paupers

The proposed division would entail
great expense and inconvenience
upon the remaining park because
the paupers are with the remaining
part and a great part of the
assessed land tax would be taken
with the part proposed to be taken
from the Township -

Cross examined

I live 6 or 7 miles from the proposed
line of division I only know where
the proposed line is, only as pointed

out to me by other parties

R. D. Showalter

Edward Albert being produced
+ sworn depueth and says I
reside in Doggo Township and
have for 30 years

I came along one afternoon and
I seen the Surveyors running the
line. The proposed new division
takes off a portion of the Township
that is the least expense to the
Township, and affords the most
revenue. It takes about 1040 acres
off of me that there is nobody liv-
ing on. I dont know wheather it
will take all the unsold land or
not. I dont think it would be any
advantage to the citizens to have the
Township divided; if it was left to
me I would not have it divided,
it would leave us a lot of school
houses to build.

Cross examined by Mr Chase
I live a little over a mile from
the proposed new line.
Wit Edward ^{his} + Albert
Jm E. Inm. mark

Alexander H Haring - being produced
and sworn deponent and says
I reside in Decatur Township
and lived there 44 years. I
am acquainted with the proposed
division line. Parties living on
both sides of the division line
where it first crosses the Perry
Township line into Decatur, told
me that it followed the line between
Isaac Gase and McClarin's farms
thence south as nearly as possible
to follow the track lines to a point
nearly as far south as Parson-
ville, thence westward to Woodward

6
Township. The part proposed to be taken off is thinly settled. I don't know how it would affect the school.

The portion proposed to strike off would be the least expensive in proportion to extent of Territory as to valuation. It leaves the most

expensive and burdensome in the old Township. The proposed division of the Township would increase the taxes in the remaining portion of the Township and from this fact would be more burdensome.

It would leave the abandoned houses and worked out old mines in the old Township which are of no use and expense to the Township.

As to voters, those that are at Cassinville would still have as far to come to the election.

I live about four miles from the proposed line.

A. H. Waring.

I certify that the annexed testimony was taken before me at the time and place designated in the foregoing notice, and that upon examining the witnesses and reducing their testimony to writing they were severally sworn or affirmed in due form of law to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth.

Witness my hand and notarial seal this 6th day of April 1885.

Wm. E. Irwin.
Notary Public

Clearfield County, ss:

In the Matter of
Erection of New
Township, City
parts of
VERSUS
Woodward, Alca-
zar, & Bogg's
Townships.

In the Court of Common Pleas of Clear-
field County, Pennsylvania.

No. 11. Road Booklet
Jany Term, 1884.

And Now, to wit, the 21 - day of March, in the year
of our Lord One Thousand Eight Hundred and Eighty five
the Reconstruct enter a Rule to take the Depositions
of ancient, infirm and going witnesses, to be read in evi-
dence on the Argument of this case.
Ex parte Rule of Rea on 5 day's notice.
James Kerr
Prothonotary.

To W. A. Chace, Esq. :
Atty for Petitioner

You will please take notice that, in pursuance of the foregoing Rule, Depositions
will be taken before W E Ivern, or some other person authorized to
administer an oath or affirmation in Pennsylvania, in and for the county of Centre
, at the office of W E Ivern, in the
County of Centre, in the county of
, and State of Pennsylvania, on the 6 day of
April, A. D. 1885, between the hours of 8 o'clock A. M.,
and 9 o'clock P. M., when and where you may attend and cross-examine.

Clearfield, Pa., 21 Mar, 1885.

Murray & Gordon
Atty for Reconstruct

Rosal Russell

No 11 Jan'y 5 1884

Dr. S. Section of New
Tennessee. only parts

of

Worshiping. Section
+ 13990 Tennessee
108-3000; 108-3000
paid by 108-3000

Rule to take 108-3000
on 108-3000

108-3000
New 108-3000
Tennessee accept service
of 108-3000 Rule + 108-3000

W. H. Chase
att. to 108-3000
108-3000

CLEARFIELD COUNTY, SS:

RULE TO TAKE DEPOSITION
Du Bois Courier, Print.

In re Enchain of a new
Township out of parts
of Woodward Decatur
and Boggs Townships

Quarter Session
In the Court of Common Pleas of Clearfield County
Pennsylvania.

No. 11 Jan'y Term, 1884

AND NOW, to wit, the 22 day of December, in the year of our
Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-four, the
Petitioners enter a Rule to take Deposition
of ancient, infirm and going witnesses, to be read in evidence
on the Argument of this case.
Ex parte Rule of Petitioner on Ten day's notice

James Kerr
PROTHONOTARY.

To Murray & Gordon Esq.:

You will please take notice that, in pursuance of the foregoing Rule, Depositions will
be taken before Robt McNamara Esq., or some other person
authorized to administer an oath or affirmation in Pennsylvania, in and for the county of
Clearfield at the office of Robt McNamara
the Borough of Houtzdale in the county of
Clearfield and State of Pennsylvania, on the Sixth
day of January, A. D., 1885, between the hours of 9 o'clock
A. M., and 9 o'clock P. M., when and where you may attend and cross
examine.

Clearfield, Pa., Dec 22, 1884. Atty for Petitioners
service Dec. 22/84 per Remond
Murray Esq.

Depositions of witnesses produced sworn and examined at the office of Robt McKamara one of the Justices of the Peace of the Borough of Montgomerie County of Clearfield on the Sixth day of January A D 1885 between the hours of 9 A M and 9 C. E. P. M. of said day in obedience to the rule of court and notice hereto attached to be read in a cause depending in said Court in which the matter of the erection of a new Township out of parts of Woodward Decatur and Briggs Townships.

G. W. Replhart aged forty three or thereabouts of Decatur Township being produced and sworn and examined on the part of petitioners deposeseth as follows I have resided in Decatur Township forty three Years I live on the Jacob Cox tract of land which will be in the proposed new Township On the End of the New Castle district there will be no family deprived of School privileges as the line of the new Township would be the line dividing the School district as they are now at present There would be two or three families in the Ohio district in Decatur Township would be left in the old Township These are the only ones I know of My Taxes in Decatur Township was on a valuation of \$1048.00 as follows State & County \$6.50

School Tax \$9⁰⁰ and Poor tax \$5⁰⁰ Road Tax
I think about \$7⁰⁰ These taxes was for the year 1884.
I think it would be advantageous to the people living
in the proposed new Township to have the same
erected on account of the distance that some of
them have to travel six or seven miles to attend
election. There ~~will~~ ~~is~~ is a large mining population
in the new Township.

G. W. Kephart.

Lucas Kephart aged thirty six years or thereabouts
of Decatur Township being produced and sworn
and examined on the part of petitioners deposes
as follows I have lived in Decatur Township about
thirty six years and I live in that part of Decatur
Township that will be in the new Township.

There will be no children deprived of school privilege
with the exception of two or three families living in
what is known as the Ohio District. I think it would
be advantageous to the people living in the proposed
new Township to have the same erected on account of
the distance that some of them have to travel six or
seven miles to attend election. There is a large mining
population in the new Township.

J. A. Kephart.

Jacob Walker aged thirty eight years of Decatur
Township being produced and sworn and examined

on the part of petitioners deposesh as follows I have
lived in Decatur Township about thirty five years
I live in in that part of Decatur Township that will
be in the new Township There will no children
deprived of school privilege with the exception of two
or three families living in what is known as the Ohio
District I think it would be advantageous to the
people living in the proposed new Township to have
the same erected on account of the distance that some
of them have to travel to attend election There is
a large mining population in the new Township

David Walker

I S. Kephart aged forty seven years of Decatur
Township being produced and sworn and examined
on the part of petitioners deposesh as follows I have
lived in Decatur Township about thirty six years
I live in that part of Decatur Township that will
be in the new Township There will be no children
deprived of school privilege in the New Castle or Jeffers
District There might be three or four families that
would be left in the old Township that is known as the
Ohio District I think it would be an advantage for the
people living in that part of Decatur Township that is to
be put into the new Township ^{in attending Elections} There is a large population
of miners living in the proposed new Township There
is three mines that will be in the new township Whelpleads
Fishers and Ashland S. S. Kephart

Q. Culahan, aged forty seven years. & threatens
of Decatur Township. ~~From~~ Superintendents of Ashland
Collary being produced and sworn depositions as
follows I don't know of any children that will be deprived
of school privileges by the erection of the new Township
I would think that the mining population would be
two hundred I mean the men and boys employed
about the mines. The roads in that part of Decatur
Township where I live is in a very bad condition and
have been ever since I have lived in the Township
I think it would be of advantage to the people living
in that part of Decatur Township which will be in
the new Township about to be erected to have said
new Township erected

J. H. Simon

John St Philips aged thirty two years threatens
of Decatur Township being produced and sworn and
examined on the part of petitioners depositions as
follows. The condition of the roads in that part of
Decatur Township that will be in the proposed new
Township have always been bad ever since I
lived in the Township. I have lived in the Township
twenty years. The road leading to Clearfield has
been impassible ever since I have been in the
Township. The roads has been changed several times
by individuals without an order from court or
any other authority. The tax on unseated lands

in the part of the Township along this road are taken and used in the other end of the Township.

The people living in that portion of Decatur Township is so far away from the election House that they do not turn out to the election ~~the election house~~ and supervisors and other officers are elected from the other end of the Township and, consequently the money collected for road purposes is used in the part of the Township that the officers live in. It will take three school houses out of Decatur Township as regards the number of scholars it will not deprive more than three or four families one of these families has but one child to attend school. I believe the mining population to be about five hundred. The distance from the election House is between seven or eight miles from where I live.

John F. Phillips

H H Baughman aged about sixty years of Woodward Township being produced and affirmed deposes as follows. I was one of the men that helped to lay out this proposed new Township. I was done as well as it could be done for the accommodation of the said Townships. I don't think it will injure any of the schools except the Ohio District which will only ^{be} three families. The roads in that part of Decatur Township that will be in the proposed new Township are and always have been in bad condition.

The money collected in that part of the Township.
would not more than keep the roads in good con-
dition I also own property in Decatur Township
that will be still in Decatur Township after the
proposed new Township is erected There is one hundred
and Sixty two acres There will be three school Houses
taken out of Decatur Township and will be in the
proposed new Township Ohio, New Castle & Jeffries
There will be only three families left out of that will
be interfered with in the erection of the proposed
new Township

H. H. Baughman

I hereby certify that the above witnesses were duly
qualified and examined at the time and place stated
in the above caption and subscribed their depositions
in my presence

Robt McManara
Justice of the Peace

Depositions of Witnesses forsworn, sworn
and examined by me the 19th day of
January 1885. at my Office, at
Philipsburg Pa. between the hours of
8 O'clock A.M. and 9. P.M. by virtue
of the annexed rule of Court of Quarter
Sessions for the examination of witnesses
in a certain cause then depending, wherein
Recreation of a New Township is plaintiff and
out of parts of Woodward Decatur } defendant.
Beggs -

Wm. A. Reams, being produced and
sworn deposes and says. I live
in Decatur Township Clarfield
Co Pa. I am 48 years of age by
occupation. I have lived about
41 years in Decatur Township. I
live 4. miles west from Philipsburg
and about 5. miles from Jeffries. I
have been supervisor six terms
within the last sixteen years. The
Township has been divided into two
sections one the lower end and

the other the upper end, for the lower
end the dividing line commences
at the fork near Richards, running
to Fish town - and from ~~there to Soda~~
~~Bar - two miles to the Bar -~~ Fish town
to Parsonville, the lower end being
the eastern side of the Township
The lower end receiving fifteen hund-
red dollars and the upper end
eight hundred dollars. The divi-
sion of the taxes is made by the
Supervisors, being a fair proportion
to the amount of roads to make.
I think I can keep the roads in the
upper end in better repair with eight
hundred dollars and I can the lower
end with fifteen hundred dollars.
This taxes has always been distributed
in about the same proportion between
the two ~~Sections~~ ^{Districts}. I think it would be
an injury to the township to divide
it as they propose to do. It would
affect our schools and would mean

take our building new school houses
They the upper end have more than
their proportion of the Officers. they
have the Treasurer, Constable, ^{one} Overseer
of the Poor two school Directors, one
auditor and assessor, one Justice
of the Peace. I don't believe there
is any more than twenty miners
living in the portion of Decatur Tp.
that they propose to cut off.

The upper end of the Township have
about one mile farther than the lower
end to go to the election Poles.

I think the election ^{house} is situated about
as near right for the accommodation
of all as it can be

Cross

I am the now acting as supervisor
of Decatur township there are two
supervisors in Decatur Township

I am supervisor ^{what's called the} for lower end
of the Township. If the township is

divided I will live in the lower end - I will be about two miles from the new line and still remain in Decatur Township.

There is sixteen hundred dollars of seated taxes in the lower end and about eight hundred in the upper end. I do not know the amount of unseated taxes in Decatur Township. I do not know ~~the~~ exactly where the lines of the new Township are located. I don't know the lines of the school districts in Decatur Township and don't know that they are divided into school districts. but I know that certain scholars have certain schools to go to. I can't tell how many children will ^{be} deprived of school by the division of the Township. Levi Goss is Townsman of Decatur Township and if the

2
Downship is divided as proposed he
will remain in Decatur Downship
Jos Goss. is Constable of Decatur
Downship and ~~will remain in Decatur P~~
~~Downship and on the lower end~~
David Hughes, and Jacob Mock, are
overseers of the Poor of Decatur
Downship and ~~will remain in Decatur~~
~~Downship~~ ~~lower end~~. P. G. Mattern and
James Goss, Isaac Chilcott, E. G.
Gledhill and Wm. Hale, and
Lewis Fulton, are the school direc-
tors, and they will remain in Decatur
Downship. Jos Goss Adam Kephart
and Tho Pilkenton, are the auditors,
and if Downship is divided they will
still remain in Decatur Downship
George Reams is the assessor and
if Downship is divided he will still
be in Decatur Downship, Nathan
Kephart & Jacob Mock are the Justices
of the Peace and if divided will
still remain in Decatur Downship
Wm. Mease and myself are

the supervisors and Mease would
be in the new Township if divided
and I would remain in Decatur
Township. I do not know how
many miners work at Ashland
Colliery in Decatur Township.

William A. Reams

G. P. G. Mattern. being sworn and affirm-
ed deposes and says. I have lived
in Decatur Township 25 years
I am a school director of Decatur Town-
ship. I understand that the proposed
division line in the new Township cuts
off and places in the lower end Abm
Goss, Isaac Goss, Jos Mills, Harris
Klinger & Wilson Kephart in the
this district. the nearest school
would be at least two miles or
three miles. If the township were
divided we would be bound to
build new school houses

The proposed division of the Township will be of no advantage to Citizens of the Township generally as to roads, schools and poor, but would be a great disadvantage.

The proposed division line throws the greater number of roads & paupers on the lower end. The lower end would contain all the mines but one. According to my judgement, ^{some of} the voters in the old Township would have about a mile farther to go the place of election than any on the part that they propose to take off.

Cross. of.

I do not know where the new line is only from hearsay. I know where the line of the school districts are. Isaac Cross sends his children to the Eagle Eye school in Boggs Township. Jos Mills has no children. Wilson Lephart has children going

from his house The scholar that Wilson
Keppart is sending is a child of
his brother, who he is raising -

Re direct

My knowledge of the division line
has been drawn from it being
pointed out to me on the Map
and from parties from telling me
that it would leave some of them
in the new and some of them in the
old -

Re X

Mrs. Chase pointed out the division
line to me, Mr. Mock and Mr. Pyper
were standing by

J. D. Matter

Andrew Baughman. being produced
and sworn deposed and says.

I live in Decatur Township, have lived
there 61 years. I know pretty near
where the dividing line is of the pro-
posed division Township. ~~definitely~~
^{shown.} a general map of Clewfuld Co. I
was two years Supervisor of this
Township, the roads were very
good then, with five mills tax we
could keep up the roads if we
worked hard. On the lower end,
of the proposed division line the
greater burden of repairing the roads
would be cast. The roads are not
in good condition in the upper end,
but the lower end are good. because
they hadnt money enough.

Cross of

I was supervisor eight years ago
and at that time the millage was five
mills. the rate is seven now.

In the lower end. the greater portion
of the money was spent

The supervisor told him he didn't
money enough to finish up the road

Re direct

We have always had a supervisor
in the upper end of the Township

Re Goss

We always elect a supervisor
in each district

A Bancy Brown

Abraham Goss being produced sworn
deposes and says I resided in
Deeatur Township for upwards of
40. years. I know nothing of the
proposed division of the Township
only by hearing the people talk about
it and telling me that the line runs
between me and Sam McCarrens

land. If the line runs where I
have been informed it is, it will
leave me out of the School district.
It's not a half a mile where I
now live to the school where my
children are attending, and the proposed
division would leave it upwards
of 3 miles. Isaac Goss and Wilson
Kephart and his brother-in-law Mr. Klinger
would also be left out and in the
same condition that I would be in.
I do not think it would be any advan-
tage to divide the Township but it
would be a great disadvantage.

This division would necessitate the
building of new School houses and
would make the schools smaller for
a long time to come.

Cross &

Isaac Goss does not send his
children to the Ohio School district
but if the school was full where he

does send he would have to send
them there - If the line is as they
tell me, I know the line

A. L. R. am byoss

Rich^d Hughes being produced affirmed
deposits and says. I live in Deca-
tur Township, have lived there for
fifty years. I am acquainted
with the proposed line its pointed
out to me on the map. The division
of the Township would cast a great
er burden of repairing of roads
and keeping of pauper in the lower
end. I think it would be in all
its aspects an unjust division
to the inhabitants to the lower end

Cross of

I have heard of the proposed new
lines through different persons Mr
Mock. pointed it out to me

R. Hughes

4
Isaac Chilcote being produced sworn
deposits and says I have been in
Decatur Township for fourteen years.
I am not exactly acquainted with
the proposed line, but have made
inquiring where it runs.

The division would leave me in the
lower end and I would be at least
 $7\frac{1}{2}$ miles from the election poles.
The inhabitants have no farther than
that now to go.

Cross X

It would not lengthen the distance if
the Township was divided to the poles.

Isaac Chilcote

Levi Goss. being produced, sworn,
deposits and says. I have resided
in Decatur Township for about
40 years. Parties have told me
where the proposed division line
runs I am pretty well acquainted

with the taxable property throughout
the Township. If the division of the
Township would obtain the side that
they propose taking off would have
far the best taxable property and
have fewer paupers and roads
to repair. I do not consider the
division line Geographically fair

It is taking off that part which
is inexpensive to the Township generally
the reason why it is thus inexpensive
is because there is no miners of
any account no paupers, the schools
are fewer to keep up. the greater
portion of the unseated and leased
land tax are in the portion they
propose taking off, leaving the
greater number who are taxable
for occupation in the old Township
as to repairing of roads I think one tenth
the amount of money would repair
the roads in the part they propose
taking off towards what it would

require for the same purpose for
the part left in. I form this es-
timate from the character and location
of the roads. I have been collector
in this township more or less for
sixteen years and am acquainted
with the roads generally. I do not
think if parties were to pick upon
any part of the Township, they could
have selected a better portion, as to
the collection of taxes and the least
expense in maintaining their muni-
cipal institutions. I consider the
proposed division of the Township
of a manifest injustice to the principal
part of the citizens of the Township.
I cannot see either ask schools or
voting, in fact I cannot see one
point where it would be of advantage
to the citizens, of the citizens of the part
proposed to be taken off except
to receive their taxes.

Cross X

They could of taken more of Decatur
Township by going down and taking in
Moshannon Mines, they would have
a straighter line in Decatur Township,
but to form a new Township out of
parts of Doggo, Woodward and
Decatur. I do not consider it nec-
essary to take just the slack piece
they propose to take. I do not think
the land in the portion of Decatur Town-
ship proposed to be struck off into a
new Township I do not think it any
more valuable than that left in the
old Township. I think the land in the
^{proposed} new township as good as the old I
think the average valuation of land
per acre about the same. I live
about 3 miles from the line of the proposed
new Township in the old Township.
I do not know how many acres of
land there are in Decatur Township.

Levi Goss

Wm Reams recalled -

When I was supervisor last fall two years ago, Mease the supervisor of the upper end came to me and asked me if I could use some of his taxes as he had no place to put this amount he wanted me to work out and I worked out fifteen dollars of his taxes on over end last fall I offered him a hundred dollars to work out but he refused it and said he did not need it

Grass X

If I had been supervisor I think I could of used ^{all} the taxes to a good advantage

William A Reams

I hereby certify that the annexed
depositions were taken and sub-
scribed to before me this 19.th
day of January AD 1885.

Witness my hand and Notarial
Seal this day and year above
written —

J. E. Inver.
Notary Public

It is hereby agreed by and between
Council that all exceptions as to the
production of the Rule before the Commis-
sioner upon which the following depo-
sitions are taken, are hereby waived
and that said Rule shall be annex-
ed with all legal effect if annexed
by July 20th 1885

H. A. Chase
Att'y for Petitioners

CLEARFIELD COUNTY, SS:

Black Walnut *de* *vo* *fore*

The Commonwealth of Pennsylvania to *Geo. Beaman - William Beaman's Study* *Beaman*

We command you, that setting aside all manner of business and excuses whatso-
ever, you be and appear in your proper person before our judges, at Clearfield, and our
~~County Court of Common Pleas, there to be held for Clearfield county, on the~~ *19th*
~~Monday of~~ *January, 1885* ~~next~~, there to testify the truth according to your
knowledge in a certain case now pending in our said Court, and then and there to be
tried, wherein *de* *est* *Beaman*

Plaintiff

Marcelus Beaman

Defendants,

and that on the part of the *Plaintiff*. And
this you are not to omit under a penalty of five hundred
pounds.

Witness, the Hon. DAVID L. KREBS, President of our
said Court, at Clearfield, the *19th* day of *January*
Anno Domini one thousand eight hundred and eighty-five

Samuel Beaman
Prothonotary.

No. _____ Term, 188 .

Versus.

CONSTABLE'S RETURN.

Constable _____ Service, \$ 100

30 _____ Miles direct, 360

Total, - - - \$ 460

CLEARFIELD COUNTY, SS:

Personally appeared before me, the subscriber, who, being duly sworn, doth depose and say that he made the number of services and traveled the number of miles set out above in making service of this subpoena.

James Goss

worn and subscribed before me this _____ day

of _____, 188 .

Prothonotary.

By the Court Clerk

CLEARFIELD COUNTY, SS:

Abraham Lincoln Vice Fore

The Commonwealth of Pennsylvania to Geo. Beaman - William Beaman Study Warehouse

We command you, that setting aside all manner of business and excuses, whatso-
ever, you be and appear in your proper person before our judges at Clearfield, at our
County Court of Common Pleas, there to be held for Clearfield County, on the 19th
Monday of Jan'y, 1885 ~~next~~, there to testify the truth according to your
knowledge in a certain case now pending in our said Court, and then and there to be
tried, wherein Seaton Township

Plaintiff

Marionel Township

Defendants,

and that on the part of the Plaintiff. And
this you are not to omit under a penalty of five hundred
pounds.

Witness, the Hon. DAVID L. KREBS, President of our
said Court, at Clearfield, the _____ day of _____
Anno Domini one thousand eight hundred and eighty-five

James A. Kerr
Prothonotary.

No. _____ Term, 188 .

Versus.

CONSTABLE'S RETURN.

Constable _____ Service, \$ 100

30 _____ Miles direct, 360

Total, - - - \$ 460

CLEARFIELD COUNTY, SS:

Personally appeared before me, the subscriber, who, being duly sworn, doth depose and say that he made the number of services and traveled the number of miles set out above in making service of this subpoena.

James Goss

Sworn and subscribed before me this _____ day

of _____, 188 .

Prothonotary.

By the Court Clerk

Aug 18th
1884

To the Honorable Court of Clearfield Co. Pa.
we the undersigned Citizens of Cecature
Township would respectfully Petition the
Honourable Judge and Court of Said County
Not too grant the Petition for to strike
off a part of Said Cecature Township for
the purpous of formming a new Township
as it would be verry dammaging to Cecature
Township and the proposed Line would
make it entirely impossible for a part
of our Citizens for to get to School atal
in the Township And of our Petitioners
will ever pray &c

David Mock

Vincent Smal

Joseph Goss

Abel Waring

Frank Waring

C F Blake

J A Young

Thomas Waring

D E Albert

Howard Albert

Rudolph Albert

Morph B. Goss

David Goss

William Fritchard

James B. Goss

Joseph Moore

Thomas Moore

Stephen Test

George Test

Reuben Test

W. B. Holmes

J H C Holmes

A J Turner

Levi Goss

Aug 18th
1884

To the Honorable Court of Clearfield County
Pa. we the undersigned Citizens of Occature
Township would respectfully Petition
the Honorable Judge and Court of Said County
Not to grant the Petition for to Strike of a
part of Said Occature Township for the
purpose of forming a new Township as it
would be very damaging to Occature Town-
ship and the Proposed Line would make
it intirely impossible for a part of our
Citizens for to get to School at all in the
Township and your Petitioners will ever
Pray &c

Adam Kephart
Stephen Kephart

James Macken
Nathan Kephart
John Carson
~~John Kephart~~

B. Hughes
Harry Hughes
Levis. Richards
R. Hughes
B. F. Hughes

George Seederhorn
E. L. Hughes
Jon R. Hughes
W. H. Hughes
J. W. Ashcroft
C. J. Fane

To the honorable the Judges of the Court of Clearfield County we the undersigned Citizens and Tax Payers of Decatur township would respectfully represent that there is a petition before your honorable body asking for a division of Decatur township, and we hereby present our reasons why such division should not take place

- 1) The part to be taken off is very thinly populated. There are good roads and sufficient school buildings therein, which have been paid out of the common funds of the township.
- 2) The other and greater part of the township is inhabited by a large mining population, which are a great tax on us for school and Poor purposes, and by dividing the township the greater part of the taxes for unseat lands would be a benefit to the over township, to the great financial distress of that part of the township where the most taxes are needed for the proper education of the young and the maintenance of the Poor.
- 3) By dividing the township it would be entirely impossible for the children of part of the citizens on the line of the over proposed division to get to their them

respective schools, and we would not have
sufficient means to build new ones, our
present school taxes being very high now
and we will ever respectfully pray.

E. G. Gearhart

J. Lutton

Adam Depout

G. D. Mattern

Henry Wright

James Goss

W. W. Hughes

Geo. Chilcote

William Dick

J. S. Goss

B. B. Brockett

Sam. W. Dick

G. D. Mattern

H. W. A. Shultz

C. C. Shultz

Mapleton Land Co

Demond L. L. L.

Thos. O'Brien

Wiley Nash

Jo. Hamitt

James Hatten

William Hicks

James R. R.

A. E. Goss

Sam. S. S.

Sam. S. S.

W. H. Braund, Jr.
David L. Linn

John A. Lippert

J. L. Mitchell

D. L. Boylston

James R. Rapp

Harrison C. Linger

Jonathan D. Lippert

W. L. L. Lippert

No 11 May 1884

Penrose House

3
Division of
Decatur 3mp.

Jul 9 Sept 1884
Decatur
OK

1 To the Honorable the Judges of the Court of
2 Quarter Sessions of Clearfield County, Penna.

3 The undersigned citizens of the present
4 Townships of Woodward, Necanicum and Boggs; Res-
5 pectfully pray your Honorable Court to appoint
6 Commissioners as provided for by law to inquire
7 into the propriety of erecting the following described
8 property or land or part thereof into a new Town-
9 ship; that is to say: Beginning at North East
10 Corner of Samuel Emelin tract of land on line between
11 Woodward and Bigler Townships, thence South East
12 along line of Samuel Emelin tract to corner of
13 Roland Evans; thence along line of Roland Evans
14 tract to the west line of Joseph Clark tract
15 thence East along line of Joseph Clark, William
16 Drinker, Hugh Ralston and Robert Hilzimer
17 (being Southern boundary of said tracts) to South East
18 Corner of Robt Hilzimer tract in Necanicum Township,
19 thence North along Eastern Boundary of the Robt
20 Hilzimer tract, Jacob Cox tract to North East
21 Corner of Jacob Cox; thence West along northern
22 boundary of Jacob Cox tract to South East
23 Corner of Patrick Moore tract; thence North
24 along Eastern boundary of Patrick Moore, David
25 Stewart Jr, John Hall, Thomas Smith and William
26 Morris in Boggs Twp (being the North East Corner
27 of William Morris) thence West along northern
28 boundary of William Morris, Mary Morris,
29 Ephraim Coucle, William Troutwine and John
30 Kane to the Clearfield Creek, thence South
31 and along the Course of Clearfield Creek

1 to mouth of Upper Morgan Run and thence
 2 along North East boundary of Susanna Hard
 3 track to North East Corner of Samuel Culin
 4 track the place of beginning.
 5 And having viewed the same to report upon
 6 the propriety of erecting same into a new
 7 Township and attach a draft or description
 8 to their report and report the same at your
 9 next Court. And they will ever pray &c

11 John M Chase	John M Chase Jr
12 Wm K Kline	Davis Boyer
13 McElliland	William Magnew
14 Asa Kline	Hugh Kline
15 Alanzo Kline	Albert Kline
16 D. A. Chase	William W Moore
17 Steep J Bauman	William Henderson
18 D. W. McHenry	Cyrus J. Houser
19 H. Richards	Thomas Henderson
20 Daniel Don	S. S. Kephart
21 D. H. Anderson	John W. Hart
22 H. C. Thayer	John W. Hart
23 Jere Kearns M.D.	John W. Hart
24 Christian Riemann	John W. Hart
25 John W. Stines	John W. Hart
26 J. A. Haines	John W. Hart
27 Jacob Gager	John W. Hart
28 Geo L. Phillips	John W. Hart
29 John Ralston	John W. Hart
30 John W. Loomer	John W. Hart
31 Ed Harris	John W. Hart

1 James J. Blackberry	2 Jarvis L. Davis
2 Sal Lora	3 Dean Phillips
3 E. C. Bowman	4 J. S. Landon
4 T. M. Goss	5 J. E. Ketchum
5 Dora Reamer	6 Harry Carson
6 William Goss	7 Joseph Garrett
7 J. S. Baughman	8 W. H. Brundage
8 A. J. Goss	9 James D. Phillips
9 J. S. Baughman	10 J. M. Anderson
10 J. S. Baughman	11 J. M. Anderson
11 J. S. Baughman	12 J. M. Anderson
12 J. S. Baughman	13 J. M. Anderson
13 J. S. Baughman	14 J. M. Anderson
14 J. S. Baughman	15 J. M. Anderson
15 J. S. Baughman	16 J. M. Anderson
16 J. S. Baughman	17 J. M. Anderson
17 J. S. Baughman	18 J. M. Anderson
18 J. S. Baughman	19 J. M. Anderson
19 J. S. Baughman	20 J. M. Anderson
20 J. S. Baughman	21 J. M. Anderson
21 J. S. Baughman	22 J. M. Anderson
22 J. S. Baughman	23 J. M. Anderson
23 J. S. Baughman	24 J. M. Anderson
24 J. S. Baughman	25 J. M. Anderson
25 J. S. Baughman	26 J. M. Anderson
26 J. S. Baughman	27 J. M. Anderson
27 J. S. Baughman	28 J. M. Anderson
28 J. S. Baughman	29 J. M. Anderson
29 J. S. Baughman	30 J. M. Anderson
30 J. S. Baughman	31 J. M. Anderson

No. 11 Jan'y Term 1884

Of them for the appoint-
ment of Commissioners to
and a four year term of
part of the year 1884
and MacArthur & Co

Died 16 Aug 1884

For Aug 16th 1884 the within
for the year and provided
and John W. Moore, John J
Pence and Daniel W. Moore

and appoint in Commission
to receive and report to the Court
the propriety of any law or
new law which it may find
in order to be valid

By the Court
13. 14 and 1884, Under the
by the Court 1884

Chanc

4473a. *Pinus*

4473a. *Pinus*

opportunity

the Peace in and for the
A m to C P m

9 o'clock in the

Chap's

the penalty of One Hundred

et his hand and seal, the

.....

Justice of the Peace



In Re New Twp : In the Court of Quarter Sep
out of parts of
Woodward & Decatur No 11 Jan'y term 1884

Upon examination of the report of the Commissioners appointed in the above stated proceedings, we think they are defective in that they do not distinctly and explicitly report that they have as required by the order of view, "inquired into the propriety of granting the diversion, - This is their first duty, the order requires it and the decision of the Supreme Court enforces it, In Re Harrison Twp. 5 Barr 450 It is not sufficient for them to make return that they are of the opinion that the new township should be erected, How they arrived at that opinion we do not know, We might infer that they did make inquiry, but matters such as this may not be decided upon doubtful inferences In Re Limestone Twp, 1 Jones 271. Under these decisions and for these reasons we are compelled to sustain the exceptions and set the proceedings aside, which is accordingly done

By the Court
David L. Kneels
P. J.

No 11 Jan'y Decr 1884

In Re Application for
New Donorship only
part of
Mortward & Doctur

~~Receives nothing~~ added ~~Re~~

Decr 24 July 1885
James H. H. H.
H. H. H.

Order to View

State of Pennsylvania }
County of Clearfield } S.S.

At a Court of Quarter
Sessions of the Peace of the County of Clearfield
held at Clearfield in and for said County on the
16th day of January A.D. 1884, before the Judges
of the Peace upon the petition of sundry inhabi-
tants of the Townships of Woodward, Decatur
and Boggs, in said County of Clearfield, setting
forth that they labor under great inconveniences
for the want of a new township to be erected out-
of parts of said townships, by the following lines,
Beginning at North East corner of Samuel Emelin
tract of land on line between Woodward and
Bigler townships - thence South East along line
of Samuel Emelin tract to corner of Roland Evans
thence along line of Roland Evans tract to the
West line of Joseph Clark tract, thence East
along line of Joseph Clark, William Drinker,
Hugh Ralston and Robert Hilzheimer (being
Southern boundary of said tracts) to South East
Corner of Robert Hilzheimer tract in Decatur
Township, thence North along Eastern boundary
of the Robert Hilzheimer tract, Jacob Cox tract
to North East corner of Jacob Cox, thence West
along Northern boundary of Jacob Cox tract
to South East corner of Patrick Moore tract,
thence North along Eastern boundary of Patrick
Moore, David Stewart & John Hall, Thomas
Smith and William Morris in Boggs Township,

(being the North East corner of William Morris,) thence West along northern boundary of William Morris, Mary Morris, Ephraim Bouele, William Troutwine and John Kane to Clearfield Creek, thence South along the course of Clearfield Creek to Mouth of upper Morgan Run, and thence along North East boundary of Susanna Ward tract to North East corner of Samuel Enlin tract the place of beginning —

Whereupon the Court upon consideration had of the premises do order and appoint Thomas W Moore John L Pearce and Daniel W Moore as Commissioners or Viewers who after being duly sworn or affirmed to perform the duties of their appointments with fidelity and impartiality are to view the ground proposed for said New township and inquire into the propriety of erecting the same and make report to the Court as to necessity of same and should they concur in forming a new township, that they attach to their report a plot or draft of the same, showing the number of acres contained in said new township and the quantity of ground taken out of each of the townships of Woodward, Decatur and Boggs, with the names of the several tracts

By the Court

James Kerr
Clerk



No. 11 Day S.S. 1884

Order to View and
lay out new townships
out of portion of Wood-
ward Decatur & George

Jan

Dec 27 May 1884
Darius Allen

29th May 1884 Conference
N.S. by M. G. G. G.

A. L. K. K.

Dec. 12.5 by S. W. Moore

Albion

To the Honorable Judges of the Court of
Common Pleas of Clearfield County -

We the undersigned persons appointed by the
annexed order of Court to view and lay out
the township, from parts of Woodward Location
and 1 Jogg as therein described. Respectfully
Report. That having given notice of the time
and place of meeting, according to the act of as-
sembly, and being all present at the view of
of the ground, proposed for the new Township
and being all Sworn and Affirmed in per-
suance of said Order. Do Report, that we
viewed the territory, proposed to be erected into
a new township, and being of the opinion that
a new township, should be erected. We, have
viewed and do return as the boundary lines
of said township, Beginning at a white Pine
stump, the original corner of survey in the names
of Susanna Ward, Saml Emelin and Reuben Haines
thence by line of Saml Emelin and line of 1 Digler
township, South 35° East three hundred & sixty seven
perches to a Dead Hemlock, thence South 55° West
Seventy four perches to a stone corner of Roland
Evans survey, thence by said survey South 35°
East, two hundred and forty one perches to a Post
on line of Joseph Clark Survey, thence South 86°
East, two hundred and ninety perches, through
land of Joseph Clark and, on line of Mary Neil^{2d}
Sho^l Maston to a Post, thence South 45° West on

Bill of Expenses.

Geo. W. Moore 10 - Days
 Making Map & 41 " } Cert No 515 - \$39.90
 39 Miles

Geo. W. Moore 10 - Days } Cert No 521 23.30
 33 Miles

John L. Pierre 4 - Days } Cert # 514 8.10~~2~~
 22 Miles

Chairmen	{	Henry Baughman	10 - Days	Cert # 516	15.00
		Dan Kline	3 "	" # 517	4.50
		E. D. Haines	7 "	" # 518	10.50
		Frank Houser	1 "	" # 519	1.50
		John Bowman	4	" # 520	6.00

Exceptions filed on behalf of taxpayers of
Deer Township,

1. The petition does not set forth any reason or
ground for the creation of a new Township as
prayed for
2. The Report does not contain such explicit
and specific opinion as to the propriety of the
new township as is required by law.
3. Other illegalities and irregularities

Murray Gordon
attys for exceptants

line of Mary Neil. and Eliot Maston one hundred and thirty two perches. to a Post. Thence by land of Eliot Maston and Samuel Wagerly South $85\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$ East one hundred and eighty four perches to Stones. Thence by line of Eliot Maston and Thomas Barton Survey and by Woodward Townships North $41\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$ East. two hundred and thirty two perches to a white Pine Stump. being the North East corner of Eliot Maston. tract and North West corner of Thomas Barton survey. Thence by said Barton Survey and along the south lines of Wm Drinker. Hugh Ralston. and Robert Hiltzimer Surveys South $85\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$ East. Eight Hundred and sixty four perches to a Post. being the South East corner of said Hiltzimer Tract. Thence. along the Eastern line of said Hiltzimer Survey. and surveys of Jacob Cox and Patrick Moore North 41° East. Five Hundred and fifty five perches to Stones. Thence North $85\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$ West along line of Patrick Moore. ninety one perches to Stones. Thence still along line of same. North $41\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$ East. one Hundred and forty six perches to a post. corner of said Moore Survey. Thence. by same. North $85\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$ West thirty four perches to a Post. corner of David Stewart Jr. being the South East corner of said tract. Thence along the Eastern line of said tract and surveys in the name of. John Hall and Thomas Smith. North. $41\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$ East. Seven hundred and seventy six perches to the

Carried forward	^{ac} 3819	-	¹² 278
Mary McLenahan	384	-	09
Thomas Stewardson	624	-	112
Henry Drinker	429	-	39
	5257	-	169

Joggs Township

Thomas Smith	^{ac} 361	-	^{Per} 17
Thomas L Moore	359	-	107
Blair McLenahan	339	-	84
George Hootman	457	-	40
Barbara Snyder	463	-	14
Henry Gamm	355	-	27
Daniel Turner - 1st -	136	-	00
Charles Hight "	70	-	00
Christian Betting "	4	-	00
Joggs	2545	-	119-12
Decatur -	5257	-	69
Woodward	6058	-	84

Total No of Acres 13,861 A. 103-Per
& allowance

A Map of which we hereunto annex
Witness our hands this 27th day of
May ad 1884

Viewers { Thos W. Moore
D. W. Moore
John L. Pearce

North East corner of the Thomas Smith Survey
 Thence along the northern line of said Smith
 Survey and tracts in the name of Thomas
 & Moore, Blair McLenahan George Hootman
 and Barbara Snyder North $85\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$ West
~~twelve hundred~~ and ten perches to an old
 Hemlock corner being the north west corner of
 said Snyder Survey and on line of Henry Graume
 Thence along the eastern line of Graume Survey
 N. $4\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$ East. Three hundred and twenty per-
 ches to a Post. Thence North $85\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$ West. Eighty
 perches to Clearfield Creek. Thence up said
 Clearfield Creek, by the meanderings thereof
 about 10. Miles more or less to the line between
 Susanna Ward and Samuel Emelin Surveys
 being also on line of Bigler township. Thence
 along said tract line and line of Bigler town-
 ship North 55° East one hundred and two
 perches to the place of beginning. Containing
 Thirteen thousand, Eight hundred and
~~Eighty one~~ ^{One hundred & three} acres. ~~fifty six~~ perches and
 the allowance of Six per cent. Being
 taken as follows.

Name of Tract	number of Acres
Howard township as	
Eliza Hootman	457 — 140
Mary Sandwith	457 — 140.
John Coman	457 — 140
John Harrison	463 — 144

		^{Dr}	^{Re}
Carried forward	1834		134
John London		463 -	14-
Richard Whithead		463 -	14
Charles London		416 -	147
Peter London		474 -	114
Robert M. Gee		501 -	140
Chenney Ricketts		125 -	52
Joseph Clark -	East	284 -	77
Eliza Martin		341 -	115
William Drinker		439 -	05
Hugh Ralston		181 -	126
Susanna Ward	East	54 -	00
Reuben Haines	"	180 -	00
Joseph Williard	"	12 -	00
Luke Morris	"	150 -	00
Robert Smock	"	236 -	00
		6058	84

DeCATUR Friendships -

	^a	^{Re}
Robert Hiltzimer -	404 -	20
Jacob Cox	404 -	20
William Holliday	295 -	149
Saml Holliday	169 -	16
Patrick Moore	235 -	28
"	383 -	90
David Stewart Jr	408 -	74
John Hall	378 -	138
Charles Rish	377 -	57
Robert Rainey	406	134
Ann McLenahan	356 -	36