

No. 3, Dec 55
Term, 1895

Public Bridge
versus in
Sandy township

Contents:

Clearfield County, ss:


At a Court of Quarter Sessions of the Peace of the county of Clearfield, Pennsylvania, held at Clearfield, in and for said county, on the 9 day of Dec, A. D. 1895; before Judge of said Court, upon a petition of sundry inhabitants of the township of Sandy, in said County, setting forth that

a bridge is much needed over Sandy Lick Creek at a place where Public highway leading from New Salem to Bernua White shaft crosses said creek in the Township aforesaid

and therefore praying the Court to appoint proper persons to view and lay out the same according to law, ~~report to next term~~ whereupon the Court, upon due consideration had of the premises, do order and appoint John Moon, W. H. Haffen, Geo. Mc Hale who, after being respectively sworn or affirmed to perform the duties of their appointment with impartiality and fidelity, are to view the grounds proposed for said ~~road~~ ^{bridge} and if they view the same and any two of the actual viewers agree that there is occasion for such ~~road~~ ^{bridge} they shall proceed to lay out the same agreeable to the desire of the petitioners, as may be, having respect to the best ground for a ~~road~~ ^{bridge} and the ~~shortest distance~~, and in such manner as to do the least injury to private property, and state particularly, whether they judge the same necessary for a PUBLIC or PRIVATE ~~road~~ ^{bridge}, together with a plot or draft of the same, with the courses and distances and reference to the improvements through which it passes, and shall also procure releases of damages from persons through whose land said road may pass, or failing to procure such releases, shall assess the same, if any sustained, and shall make report thereof to the next Court of Quarter Sessions to be held for said county, in which report they shall state that they have been sworn and affirmed according to law, Notice is directed to be given to the owners or occupants of seated land through which the within road is intended to pass, of the time of the view, according to the 147th Rule of Court.

BY THE COURT.

CLERK.



RELEASE OF DAMAGES.

Know All Men by these Presents, That we, the undersigned, owners of lands through which the road located by the viewers, under the annexed order, passes for and in consideration of the sum of ONE DOLLAR to us respectively paid by the..... at and before the ensealing and delivery hereof, have remised, released and forever quit-claimed; and do hereby remise, release and forever quit-claim to the said..... all damages that may arise to us respectively by reason of the location and opening of the said road, so that neither we nor any of us, nor any person claiming under us, can or may hereafter ask, sue for, demand, have or receive any damages for injuries arising or growing out of the location and opening of the road aforesaid.

Witness our hands and seals this..... day of.....
A. D. 189 .

Seal

Seal

Seal

Seal

ASSESTMENT OF DAMAGES.

The following persons, having refused to release the damages to which they respectively may be entitled by reason of the location and the opening of the said road in the annexed return described, we, the undersigned viewers, under oath in pursuance of our duty, under the Act of Assembly, do assess their damages and make report thereof, as follows :

To..... the sum of.....

To..... the sum of.....

To..... the sum of.....

Witness our hands this..... day of....., A. D. 189 .

NOTE:—In case of a private road, the release must be executed in favor of the petitioner for said road.

Also—Viewers will carefully note the number of days employed, and set the amount out at the foot of their report.

Viewers cannot interfere with damages assessed by original viewers, except so far as the location may be changed by the viewers.

N. B.—If the viewers believe the parties are not entitled to damages, taking into consideration the advantages and disadvantages of the road, they will report to effect.

No. 300 Sessions, 1896

ORDER 

To view and lay out a road for
Jubilee in the township of
Jessey, Clearfield Co.

Sessions, 1896, read and confirmed Ni. Si.
Road to be opened 33 feet wide, except where there is
side hill cutting or embankment and bridging, there to
be 16 feet wide.

July 6th 1896
I command that the County
furnish the superintendence
for Iron Bridge
West Langford
Hooper

Filed 3rd July, 1896

Fees \$1²⁵ paid by

Geo. H. Hall Days 1 Miles 30
M. J. Offer Days 1 Miles 32
Days 2 Miles 25

W. H. Field & Son
Refuse informed to turn
over and give
By the County
Treasurer

File
regarding
my bill
- has to be paid now
whereas we were your and our
sons brought up in poverty or want in
a family of ten and two recently
died leaving 328, long L in

To the Honorable the Judge of the Court of Quarter
Sessions of Clearfield County

We the undersigned viewers appointed
by the foregoing Order of Court to view and report
as to the necessity of a Bridge across Sandy Lick
Creek, at the point where the Public highway leading
from New Salem to the Pennind white shaft crosses
the same in Sandy township. Do Report That
after having first given notice of over them five
days of the time and place of meeting. We all met
and being duly affirmed according to Law -
have viewed the said site for said proposed bridge
and find. Said Creek spanned at present with a
wooden Bridge resting on a wooden superstructure
entirely. and consequently would be liable any
time during a high stage of water to be more or less
damaged or washed away. We therefore think and
it is our opinion. that said Bridge should be
replaced with a more permanent structure - and
would therefore recommend that the County should
erect said bridge. or at least furnish the iron
for the same and Sandy township erect the
abutments and approaches to the same - all of
which we respectfully submit -

In witness whereof we hereunto set our
hands this 3rd day of February 1894 -

Thos W. Moore
George O. Hall
~~John C. Hall~~
W. J. Hoffer

To the Honorable, the Judge of the Court of Quarter Session
of Clearfield County.

The petition of the undersigned inhabitants of the Township of Sandy, in the said County respectfully sheweth, that a bridge is much wanted over Sandylick creek at a place where the public highway leading from New Salem to the Berwind White Shaft crosses the said creek in the Township aforesaid, the old bridge at that place being worn out and on wooden abutments needs replacing; and that the expense of erecting said bridge would be to heavy and burdensome on the tax payers of said Township.

Your petitioners therefore prays your Honor to appoint proper persons to view the premises and to take such order on the subject as is required by the Act of General Assembly in such case made and provided.

And they will ever pray &c.,

1 R. E. Smith
2 George Kriner
3
4
5
6 J. H. Piggman
7 E. G. Lajest
8 Jim Chick
9 Jonathan Lick
10 J. E. Johnston
11 Jas. Stofford
12 E. B. Danby
13 W. H. Roasinger
14 J. E. Maug
15 W. A. Troutman
16 Dennis Dill
17 J. H. Walters
18 R. Evans
19 M. A. Dunlap
20 Joseph Dower
21 A. H. Dunlap
22 W. H. G. Galt
23 G. W. Brown
24 R. D. Washburn
25 James Vansiar
26 John Howard
27 John S. Osborn
28 J. G. Smith
29 ~~Frank~~ Frank Shearyan
30 J. M. Lewis
31 Joseph Murphy
32 John Hale
33 A. A. Burley

No 3 Dec. 25, 1895

Order to wear
at dinner
1/1/96

Nov. 9 Dec. 1895 until
November second to December
1st or 2nd. See & Mrs
& Dr. Mather are allowed
meals & many other
exp. in return to getting
25. 1895

By the First
Class Cabin

Dec 9 Dec 1895

NO. 4 Dec. 20, 95
TERM, 19

NO. TERM, 19

NO. TERM, 19

NO. TERM, 19