

DOCKET No. 6

Number	Term	Year
1	Sept. ss	1924

Petition for Public bridge in

Grampian Boro

Versus

Clearfield County, ss:

At a Court of Quarter Sessions of the Peace of the county of Clearfield
Pennsylvania, held at Clearfield, in and for said county on the

10th day of May, A. D. 19 24

before Judge of said Court, upon a petition of sundry inhabitants of the ~~town~~
Borough ~~xxx~~ of Grampian in said County, setting forth
that the bridge over Little Anderson Creek, in

said Borough on the public highway leading from Grampian to Curwensville,
Lumber City, &c. is worn out and a new bridge is very much needed.

That the site of said bridge is wholly within the territorial limits of
the Borough of Grampian, and that the expense of erecting such a bridge as
is required is too great for said borough to bear.

and therefore paying the Court to appoint proper persons to view and lay out the same according to law,
where upon the Court upon due consideration had of the premises do order and appoint Frank Hutton, Esq.,
George K. Weber and J. W. Spangle

who after being respectively sworn or affirmed to perform their duties impartially and to the best of their judgment, are
to view the place proposed for the said bridge, and if they or any two of them, view the same, and any
two of the actual viewers agree that there is occasion for such a bridge, and that the erecting of the said bridge
would require more expense than it would be reasonable the said townships should bear, they are to make report accord-
ingly:

and a map or plot thereof to be made, which shall accompany said report; the report aforesaid to be made to the next
term of the Court of Quarter Sessions to be held for the said county of Clearfield.

BY THE COURT.

Geo W. Ralston

CLERK.

Return of Viewers.

To the Honorable the Judge of the Court of Quarter Sessions of the Peace in and for the County of Clearfield, Pa.

We the undersigned viewers, duly appointed by your Honorable Court by the foregoing order, from and among the County Board of Viewers who have been duly appointed by your Honorable Court, and have filed their oaths of office in the Court of Common Pleas of Clearfield County, Pa., beg leave to report as follows:

That notice of the time of the view was duly served according to law upon the Commissioners of Borough Council Clearfield County and on each member of the Board of Supervisors of Grampian Borough Township, and that three notices thereof were posted along the public road at and near the location of said bridge, giving notice that the view would be held on Tuesday the third day of June A. D. 1924, at 9:30 o'clock A. M. And that the public hearing as required by the Act of Assembly and the Rules of Court would be held in the Arbitration Room, in the Court House in Clearfield, Pa., on Wednesday the fourth day of June A. D. 1924, at ten o'clock A. M.

And that the said viewers met at _____ the site or proposed location of said bridge, where the public road leading from Grampian Borough in Grampian Borough Township to the Lumber City etc in Penn Township on third the third day of June A. D. 1924 at 9:30 o'clock A. M. and that there were present at the view John M.Ury Atty for petitioners, M.H.Woodell, Pres. of Grampian Borough Council, W.I.Wall Sec., Lars Peterson member of Council, H.P.Wrigglesworth Councilman, Robert Durnell, M.G.Bloom, John Zift, Earl Wall and Wm Barclay citizens and at the hearing there was present John M.Urey Esq., Atty for Grampian Borough, T.R.Weimer and Blake Mc Cracken Co.Commissioners, W.I.Wall, Miles Woodell, Adam Wood, Burgess, Lars Peterson and Howard Wrigglesworth parties interested for _____ said bridge.

And that the said viewers all having been present at the view and after having made said view do agree that there is great necessity for said bridge.

Your viewers find that the bridge now in use was erected about 1892, the piers are of stone of solid and firm construction and are apparently firm and stable as ever, the iron superstructure is very badly rusted and weakened and in the opinion of the viewers a VERY dangerous bridge, we found that it was supported by props and timbers, but not sufficiently so as to make it safe for traffic in its present weakened condition.

The evidence is that it was additionally weakened by heavy traffic during the construction of the Lake to Sea Highway in that section.

The distance between the piers is approximately thirty eight (38) feet in the clear, covered by a forty foot span or bridge, twenty five feet wide including a four foot sidewalk and eleven feet and six inches high.

The evidence showed an estimated cost of a concrete superstructure to be \$2500.00. We are of the opinion such structures should be of a permanent character, therefore recommend concrete. The assessed valuation of Grampian Borough is \$99065.00, the tax levy for general Borough purposes is 12 mills, they have a present indebtedness of about \$1600.00. The bridge is on a main public highway largely used by traffic other than Grampian. Your viewers are of the opinion that Grampian Borough is not financially able nor in justice should be required to bear the whole expense of building this bridge and we recommend that the cost

thereof be borne, share and ~~have~~ alike by Grampian Borough and the County of Clearfield. We further recommend that the new structure be raised at least two feet, thitherfor the purpose of easing the grade across the Penna R.R. tracks and approach on to the Concrete State Highway

Release of Damages.

Being on present Road way, no damages accrue to any one.

Know All Men by These Presents, That we, the undersigned owners of lands upon which the bridge is located by the viewers, under the annexed order, passes for and in consideration of the sum of ONE DOLLAR to us respectively paid by the _____ at and before the sealing and delivery hereof, have remised, released and forever quit-claimed; and do hereby remise, release, and forever quit-claim to the said _____

all damages that may arise to us respectively by reason of the location or building of said bridge, so that neither we nor any of us, nor any person claiming under us, can or may hereafter ask, sue for, demand, have or receive any damages for injuries arising or growing out of the location or building of said bridge.

Witness our hands and seals this _____ day of _____ A. D. 191

SEAL

SEAL

SEAL

SEAL

Assessment of Damages.

None to be assessed

The following persons, having refused to release the damages to which they respectively may be entitled by reason of the location and building of said bridge in the annexed return described, we, the undersigned viewers, under oath in pursuance of our duty, under the Act of Assembly, do assess their damages and make report thereof as follows:

To _____ the sum of _____

To _____ the sum of _____

To _____ the sum of _____

Witness our hands this fifth day of June A. D. 1924.

Frank Shatto
George K. Yule
James W. Spangle

NOTE: — Viewers will carefully note the number of days employed, and set the amount out at the foot of their return. Reviewers cannot interfere with damages assessed by the original viewers, except so far as the location may be changed by the reviewers. N.B. — If the viewers believe the parties are not entitled to damages taking into consideration the advantages as well as the disadvantages of the bridge, they will report to that effect.

No. 1 Sept. 19 24

Petition for Public Bridge

in

GRAMPIAN BOROUGH

*ORDER OF VIEW
BRIDGE*

1783139

Filed 19

Fees \$1.25, paid by

John M. Urey

Attorney.



TO The COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF CLEARFIELD COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA.

You are hereby notified that the undersigned Viewers, appointed by the Court of Quarter Sessions of Clearfield County, Pennsylvania, to view a site for a bridge across Little Anderson Creek in the Borough of Grampian where the public road leading from the Borough of Grampian in Penn Township, to Curwensville, Lumber City etc in Pike and Penn Townships, in the county aforesaid, will meet at the Bridge site in Grampian Borough, on Tuesday, the Third day of June 1924 at 9:30 o'clock A.M. to attend to the duty assigned them, of which time place aforesaid you will please take notice.

And that the required Public Hearing will be held in the Court House in the Borough of Clearfield on Wednesday the fourth day of June 1924 at 10 o'clock A.M. when and where all interested parties may attend and be heard.

DuBois, Pa. May 16th 1924

Frank Hartman
George H. Loder
J. W. Spangler
Viewers.

Now May 17th 1924 service of this notice is accepted by the County Commissioners

L. C. Morris Clerk

TO THE STREET COMMISSIONER AND BOROUGH COUNCIL OF GRAMPIAN BOROUGH.

You are hereby notified that the undersigned Viewers, appointed by the Court of Quarter Sessions of Clearfield County, Pennsylvania to view a site for a bridge across Little Anderson Creek in the Borough of Grampian where the public road leading from the Borough of Grampian in Penn Township, to Curwensville, Lumber City, etc in Pike and Penn Townships, in the County aforesaid, will meet at the Bridge site in Grampian Borough, on Tuesday the thrid day of June 1924 at 9:30 o'clock A.M. to attend to the duty assigned them.

And that the required Public Hearing will be held in the Court House in the Borough of Clearfield on Wednesday the fourth day of June 1924 at ten o'clock A.M. when and where all parties interested may attend and be heard.

DuBois, Pa. May 16th 1924

Frank Hutton
George R. Weber
J. W. Spangler
Viewers

Now May 17, 1924 service of this notice is accepted for the Street Commissioner and the Borough Council of Grampian Borough

John M. Drey, Atty.
for Grampian Borough

James Helper, Member
of Council, Grampian Pa.
Grampian Bridge

Curtis

County Commissioners
County Aid
Gasoline Fund.

L. Beam without new
abutments wood floor.

In the Court of Quarter Sessions of Clearfield County, Pa.

In the matter of Petition : No. *Sept* ~~Sept~~ Sessions 1924.
for a Public Bridge in the :
Borough of Grampian. :

To Hon. A. R. Chase, President Judge of said Court:

The petition of the undersigned citizens and residents of the Borough of Grampian in said county, respectfully represents.

First. That the bridge over Little Anderson Creek, in said Borough, on the public highway leading from Grampian to Curwensville, Lumber City &c. is worn out and a new bridge is very much needed.

Second. That the site of said bridge is wholly within the territorial limits of the Borough of Grampian, and that the expense of erecting such a bridge as is required is too great for said Borough to bear.

Your petitioners therefore pray your Honorable Court to appoint viewers to examine the premises and to report the facts, together with their recommendations, in order that the Court and Grand Jury may consider the necessity for said bridge, and an appropriation may be made by the County of Clearfield to aid in the construction of the same, in accordance with the provisions of the Acts of Assembly in such cases made and provided. And they will ever pray etc.

M. H. Rodil
A. W. Burrell
D. N. Blakely
A. C. Snod

11

First Cheltenham Y.W. Wood
Chas. Freeman D.G. Hepburn
J. F. Bowles S. Hepburn
John Anderson MacCormick.
W. S. Wall G. McMillan
J. D. Wigginworth T. J. Thompson
C. H. Sibley Frank Thompson
J. S. Bachelder Lee McConaughay Grampian
Raymond Bachelder William J. Currier Grampian
H. A. Black M. A. Bonsall
Dr H. S. Shaffer B. M. Head
D. O. Morris Jas. Walker
John G. Rafferty Thomas Nicholson
J. Currier
D. J. Bonsall
C. M. Cheltenham
E. A. Spencer
J. Hepburn
H. E. Dennis
H. S. Shaffer
K. A. Cheltenham
J. S. T. Brown
D. J. Patterson
J. A. Miller
William Donald
David Derrick
A. B. Bleasdale

State of Pennsylvania,

County of Clearfield. ss.

W. J. Hall one of the petitioners
above named, being duly sworn according to law says that the facts
set forth in the foregoing petition are true and correct to the
best of his knowledge and belief.

Sworn and subscribed before me
this Eighth day of April, A. D.
1924.

John J. Rafferty

MY COMMISSION EXPIRES
JANUARY 1ST, 1928

Mr. / Dept. County 1124.

Franklin Co.
George H. Weber, and
L. M. Shingle and
affiliated members are
proposed
by the County
Chairman

for May 10th

Frank Miller Esq.

George H. Weber, and
L. M. Shingle and
affiliated members are
proposed
by the County
Chairman

Frank Miller

G. J.

Now; May 8" 1924

Service accepted for County Commissioner
Mr. L. C. Morris
Chair

