

Road

DOCKET No. 6

Number

Term

Year

10

Sept

1925

Petition for approval of reconstruction
of bridge over West Branch Susquehanna
River in Burnside Boro #2
Versus

X

IN THE COURT OF QUARTER SESSIONS OF CLEARFIELD COUNTY, PENNA.

In the Matter of a Bridge over West Branch :
of the Susquehanna River in Burnside : No. 10 September Sessions
Borough known as Burnside Bridge No 2 : 1925

Now, this 10th day of July, A. D. 1926, petition presented and Frank Hutton, Esq, George Weber and J. E. Fry are appointed inspectors of said bridge over West Branch Susquehanna River in Burnside Borough, said inspectors to have the power to call upon the engineer in charge of said bridge for the county, and upon any and all persons in position to throw light on the construction thereof, and make report to the Court on or before the day of 1926.

By the Court,

A. R. CHASE, P. J.

STATE OF PENNSYLVANIA : Certified from the record this
COUNTY OF CLEARFIELD : 13th day of July A. D. 1926.

Geo W. Rabston
Clerk of the Court of Quarter Sessions.

IN THE COURT OF QUARTER SESSIONS OF CLEARFIELD COUNTY, PENNA.

In the Matter of a Bridge over the West)
Branch of the Susquehanna River in)
Burnside Borough known as Burnside Bridge)
No. "2" .)

No. 10 Sept. Sessions
1925

TO THE HONORABLE A. R. CHASE, JUDGE OF SAID COURT,

We, the undersigned, persons appointed by the within order of Court, to inspect a certain Bridge therein mentioned, respectfully report: That having been present, together with J. E. Dale T. A. Weimer and Blake McCracken, County Commissioners also with J. B. Long, Consulting Engineer for Clearfield County, at the view of the said bridge, and having been first severally sworn or affirmed, we have viewed and carefully examined the same and are of the opinion that it is completed in a substantial and workmanlike manner and in accordance with the contract entered into with the Commissioners of Clearfield County. for that purpose.

Notices to the parties interested are hereto attached.

Witness our hands, this twenty ninth day of July, 1926.

Mark Sutton
J. E. Fry
George K. Weber
Inspectors

IN THE COURT OF QUARTER SESSIONS OF CLEARFIELD COUNTY, PENNA.

In the Matter of a Bridge over the West
Branch of the Susquehanna River in Burn-
side Borough, known as Burnside Bridge
No. "2."

No. 10 Sept. Sessions

1925

TO THE COMMISSIONERS OF CLEARFIELD COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA.

You are hereby notified that the undersigned Inspectors, appointed by the Court of Quarter Sessions, of the County of Clearfield State of Pennsylvania to inspect a Bridge over the West Branch of the Susquehanna River in Burnside Borough and known as Burnside Bridge No. 2. will meet at the site of said Bridge in the Borough of Burnside on Wednesday the 28th day of July 1926 at 10:30 O'clock A.M. to attend to the duties assigned them, at which time and place you may attend ~~and~~ if you see fit.

M. Hutton
J. E. Fry
Geo. K. Weber
Inspectors

DuBois, Pa. July 15th 1926

Now, July 19 1926, service of the above notice is accepted for the Commissioners of Clearfield County.

L. C. Morris
Clerk

No. 10 September 1920

Dr R Brewster
Page No. 2

[Handwritten mark]

Report
of
Inspector

For August 14th 1926, the with-
out of the institution is approved.
By the Court
J. H. McLaughlin

FILED
AUG 3-1926
GEO. W. RALSTON
CLERK

In re:-

BURNSIDE BRIDGE NO.2 OVER WEST ;
BRANCH SUSQUEHANNA RIVER; : No. Sept. Sessions, 1925
BURNSIDE BOROUGH :

To the Honorable A. R. Chase, President Judge:-

The petition of Jesse E. Dale; T. R. Weimer and Blake W. McCracken; Commissioners of Clearfield County; respectfully presents:-

- (1). That the Department of Highways, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, is now constructing an improved state highway, known as Primary Route No. 62, which traverses Burnside Borough, Clearfield County, Pennsylvania.
- (2). That the section of said Primary Route No. 62 through Burnside Borough will in all probability be completed in September, 1925.
- (3). That the plans and specifications of said Primary Route No. 62 requires the construction of a new bridge over the West Branch Susquehanna River; to be known as Burnside Bridge No. 2.
- (4). That the bridge now located at the site of the proposed new bridge, which is the subject of this petition, has been condemned by the consulting engineer of your petitioners, as unsafe, dangerous, to the public and inadequate to meet the demands of travel over said Primary Route No. 62
- (5). That the plans and specifications for said bridge to be known as Burnside Bridge No.2 are exhibited herewith; said plans and specifications have been approved by the Department of Highways; Department of Forests & Waters; Water Commission and the State Art Commission; all of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- (6). That because of the facts above stated there exists an emergency making it necessary to construct said bridge to be known as Burnside Bridge No. 2 without the delay occasioned by the report of a Grand Jury.

2

WHEREFORE, your petitioners pray your Honorable Court to approve the construction of said bridge to be known as Burnside Bridge No. 2, in accordance with the Act of Assembly, No. 213, approved 29 April, 1925.

J E Dale
T R Weimer
B W McCracken
Commissioners of Clearfield
County.

STATE OF PENNSYLVANIA:
: SS:
COUNTY OF CLEARFIELD :

Jesse E. Dale, T. R. Weimer and Blake W. McCracken, Commissioners of Clearfield County, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that the facts set forth in their foregoing petition are true and correct, to the best of their knowledge, information and belief.

Subscribed and sworn to before
me this 10 day of July,
1925.

J E Dale
T R Weimer
B W McCracken

L. C. Morris
Clerk.

ORDER OF COURT

Now, July 1st 1925; the within petition having been read and considered, and it appearing to the Court that there exists an emergency making it necessary to construct a new bridge referred to in said petition, to be known as Burnside Bridge No.2 without the delay occasioned by the report of a Grand Jury, the Court hereby approves construction of said new bridge to be known as Burnside Bridge No. 2, in accordance with the plans and specifications exhibited to the Court with the within petition.

By the Court,

A. R. Chase.
P.J.

In the Court of Quarter
Sessions of Clearfield County
Pennsylvania.

No. 10 Sept. Sess. 1925

In re:-Burnside Bridge No.
2 over West Branch
Susquehanna River, Burn-
side Borough.

Petition for approval of
Construction of Bridge
over West Branch Susque-
hanna River.

FILED

JUL 11 1925

GEO. W. BALSTON
CLERK

COMMISSIONERS
CLEARFIELD COUNTY
CLEARFIELD, PENNA.

IN THE COURT OF QUARTER SESSIONS OF CLEARFIELD COUNTY, PENNA.

In the Matter of a Bridge } NO. 10 SEPTEMBER SESSIONS, 1926.
over West Branch of the Susque- }
hanna River in Burnside Borough, }
known as Burnside Bridge No. 2. }

TO THE HONORABLE A. R. CHASE, PRESIDENT JUDGE:

The petition of the County Commissioners of
Clearfield County respectfully represents:

That by virtue of an agreement bearing date of
the 27th day of August, 1925, made with Alex Bailey of
Philipsburg, Pa., the petitioners contracted for the
building of a three span reinforced concrete bridge
over West Branch of Susquehanna River on P. D. H.
Route No. 62-B in Burnside Borough, Clearfield County,
Pennsylvania.

Petitioners further represent that the con-
tractor proceeded in due course with the carrying out
of the terms of their agreement under the supervision
of J. B. Long, Consulting Engineer, Norristown, Pa.,
and are now advised that the bridge is completed. They,
therefore, pray the Court to appoint viewers to inspect
said bridge according to the several Acts of Assembly
in that behalf made and provided, and make report there-
of to the Court.

Attest:

J. E. Dale
W. R. Wagner
L. O. Morris B. W. M. Cracken
Clerk

NOW, this 10th day of July 1926,
petition presented and Frank Hutton Esq., George K. Weber
and J. E. Rye
are appointed inspectors of said bridge over West Branch
Susquehanna River in Burnside Borough, said inspectors
to have the power to call upon the engineer in charge of
said bridge for the county, and upon any and all persons
in a position to throw light on the construction thereof,
and make report to the Court on or before the
day of 1926.

By the Court,

A. R. Chase
P. J.

part of 11/19/98

Dry excavation.....\$2.50 per cu. yd.
 Wet excavation..... 3.00 "
 Class A concrete 30.00 "
 Class B concrete..... 30.00 "
 Class C concrete..... 28.00 "
 Class D concrete..... 28.00 "
 Portland Cement 4.00 per barrel.
 Reinforcing steel..... 0.10 per pound.

Other work not specified above, cost plus 15%.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF the parties hereunto have caused these presents to be executed on the day and date above ~~write~~ written.

Witnesses:

L. E. Bailey

Ally Bailey (SEAL)

_____ (SEAL)

_____ (SEAL)

COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

of

CLEARFIELD COUNTY

J. E. Dale (SEAL)

OR Wierner (SEAL)

B. M. Lacker (SEAL)

ATTEST *L. C. Harris*
Clerk

Contract
#17 for
Constructing
Burnside Bridge #2
in
Burnside Dors.

Alfred Bailey, Contractor

1925
NO. 10, SEPTEMBER TERM, 1926:

PETITION
FOR

APPOINTMENT OF INSPECTORS
FOR
FINAL VIEW
OF
BURNSIDE BRIDGE NO. 2

FILED

JUL 13 1926

GEO. W. RALSTON
CLERK

COMMISSIONERS
CLEARFIELD COUNTY
CLEARFIELD, PENNA.