

DOCKET NO. 173

NUMBER	TERM	YEAR
357	November	1960

John Hanslovan and Mary Hanslovan

t/d/b Hanslovan Coal Co.

VERSUS

New York Central Railroad

Sir:

Please take Notice that the within is a copy of Consent of Discontinuance duly entered herein, and filed in the Office of the Clerk of the.....  
on the.....day of.....194.....  
Dated .....194.....

Yours, &c.,

Attorney for

Room 1100, No. 466 LEXINGTON AVE.,  
BOROUGH OF MANHATTAN,  
New York 17, N. Y.

To

Attorney for

Mailed by me at 466 Lexington Ave., New York City, addressed to attorney for  
on the.....day of  
.....194.....

COUNTY OF

COURT

Clerk's No. ....

Year 194.....

against

Plaintiff


Defendant.

CONSENT OF DISCONTINUANCE

Attorney for

Room 1100, No. 466 LEXINGTON AVE.,  
BOROUGH OF MANHATTAN,  
New York 17, N. Y.

To



Due and timely service of a copy of the within Consent of Discontinuance is hereby admitted.

Dated .....13 Dec.....1941..

*James T. Kelly*  
Attorney for Plaintiff

STATE OF NEW YORK,  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK,

ss.: }

....., being duly sworn, says that he resides in.....; that he is upwards of the age of eighteen years, and is employed in the office of..... the attorney for the ..... in the above entitled action; that on the..... day of....., he served upon..... the attorney for the ..... herein, the annexed Consent of Discontinuance by depositing a copy thereof, properly endorsed in a post-paid wrapper, in United States Post Office mailbox at entrance to 466 Lexington Avenue, Borough of Manhattan, New York 17, N. Y., addressed to said attorney at.....

Sworn to before me this..... day of....., 194

COMMON PLEAS COURT, CLEARFIELD COUNTY.

John Hanslovan and Mary Hanslovan

Plaintiff ,

against

New York Central R. R.

Defendant .


357 Nov 1960

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED and AGREED, by and between the attorneys for the respective parties hereto, that the above-entitled action be discontinued without costs to either party as against the other, and that a stipulation to that effect may be filed with the Clerk of this Court by either party without further notice.

Dated.....Dec 5.....1961.



Edward T. Lee  
Attorney for the Plaintiff.

  
Bell, Silberblatt & Burke  
Attorney for the Defendant.

2.00

No. 357 Term November 1960

John Hanslovan and Mary

Hanslovan, t/d/b/a Hanslovan


Coal Co.

vs.

New York Central Railroad

APPEARANCE

For Defendant



John Hanslovan and Mary Hanslovan  
t/d/b/a Hanslovan Coal Co.

VERSUS

New York Central Railroad

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF  
CLEARFIELD COUNTY, PA.

No. 357 Term November 1960

To William T. Hagerly,

Prothonotary.

Sir: Enter our appearance for New York Central Railroad

defendant

in above case.

BELL, SILBERBLATT & SWOOPE

by M. J. Silberblatt

Attorneys for Defendant

September 14, 1961.

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF CLEARFIELD COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

JOHN HANSLOVAN and MARY  
HANSLOVAN t/d/b/a HANSLOVAN  
COAL COMPANY

:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:

No. 357 November Term, 1960

VS.

NEW YORK CENTRAL RAILROAD

PRAECIPE

TO: William T. Hagerty, Prothonotary

Sir:

You are hereby directed to change the caption of the Plaintiff in  
the above entitled action to read John Hanslovan and Mary Hanslovan, husband  
and wife.

(1)

Edward T. Kelly  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Bell, Silberblatt & Swartz  
Attorneys for Defendants



## SUMMONS

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  
County of Clearfield

To NEW YORK CENTRAL RAILROAD

You are notified that JOHN HANSLOVAN AND MARY HANSLOVAN t/d/b/a  
HANSLOVAN COAL CO.

the plaintiff s, ha ve commenced an action in SUMMONS IN TRESPASS

against you which you are  
required to defend:

Date January 7, 1961

Shirley Hagerity  
Prothonotary.

---

---

No. 357 Nov. Term 1960

John Henslovan and Mary

Henslovan t/d/b/a

Henslovan Coal Co.

versus

New York Central Railroad

---

## SUMMONS

---

Edward T. Kelley

Attorney

---

---



T/D/B John Hanstover and  
Mary Hanstover  
Hanstover Coal Co  
VERSUS  
New York Central Railroad

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF  
CLEARFIELD COUNTY, PA.

No. 357 Term Feb. 1960

To W<sup>m</sup>. T. Hegerty Pro  
Prothonotary.

Sir: Enter ~~appearance~~ for

Issue summons in trespass returnable Sec. leg  
against the above defendant

in above case.

Edward T. Kelley

Attorney for Peffis

*Mr. & Mrs. H. H. H.*

No. 357 Term Nov. 1960

VS.

APPEARANCE

For \_\_\_\_\_

*E. J. J.*

*146*

**FILED**  
JAN-7 1961  
WM. T. HAGERTY  
PROTHONOTARY

*Geo. H. H.*

## SUMMONS

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  
County of Clearfield

To NEW YORK CENTRAL RAILROAD

You are notified that JOHN HANSLOVAN AND MARY HANSLOVAN t/d/b/a  
HANSLOVAN COAL CO.

the plaintiff<sup>s</sup>, have commenced an action in SUMMONS IN TRESPASS

against you which you are  
required to defend:

Date January 7, 1961

John T. Legerty  
Prothonotary.

---

---

No. 357 Nov. Term 1960

John Hanslovan and Mary

Hanslovan t/d/b/a

Hanslovan Coal Co.

versus

New York Central Railroad

---

## SUMMONS

Edward T. Kelley

Attorney

---

---