

DOCKET NO. 173

NUMBER	TERM	YEAR
357	November	1960

John Hanslovan and Mary Hanslovan

t/d/b Hanslovan Coal Co.

VERSUS

New York Central Railroad

SIR:

Please take Notice that the within is a copy of Consent of Discontinuance duly entered herein, and filed in the Office of the Clerk of the

on the.....day of.....194....

*against Plaintiff*  
*Defendant.*

Dated .....194....

Yours, &c.,

*Attorney for*.....

Room 1100, No. 466 LEXINGTON AVE.,  
BOROUGH OF MANHATTAN,  
NEW YORK 17, N. Y.

To

**FILED**

DEC 13 1961

WM. T. HAGERTY  
*Attorney for*  
PROTHONOTARY

Due and timely served of a copy of

the within Consent of Discontinuance is hereby admitted.

Mailed by me at 466 Lexington Ave., New York City, addressed to attorney for the on the.....day of

Dated .....13 Dec.....1961.

*Edward T. Haggerty*  
*Attorney for*  
*PROTHONOTARY*

COURT  
**Clerk's No. ....** **Year 194.....**

COUNTY OF

STATE OF NEW YORK,  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK,

} ss.:

....., being duly sworn, says that he resides in.....; that he is upwards of the age of eighteen years, and is employed in the office of..... the attorney for the ..... in the above entitled action; that on the..... day of....., he served upon..... the attorney for the ..... herein, the annexed Consent of Discontinuance by depositing a copy thereof, properly endorsed in a post-paid wrapper, in United States Post Office mailbox at entrance to 466 Lexington Avenue, Borough of Manhattan, New York 17, N. Y., addressed to said attorney at.....

.....  
Sworn to before me this.....  
day of....., 194

COMMON PLEAS COURT, CLEARFIELD COUNTY.

John Hanslovan and Mary Hanslovan  
*Plaintiff* ,  
*against*  
New York Central R. R.  
*Defendant* .

357 Nov 1960

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED and AGREED, by and between the attorneys for the respective parties hereto, that the above-entitled action be discontinued without costs to either party as against the other, and that a stipulation to that effect may be filed with the Clerk of this Court by either party without further notice.

Dated..... Dec 5 ..... 1961.

100

Howard T. Leed, Esq.  
Attorney for the Plaintiff.

Bell, Silverhoff & Burke  
G. M. & J. Silverhoff  
Attorneys for the Defendant.

2.00

No. 357 Term November 1960

John Hanslovan and Mary

Hanslovan, t/d/b/a Hanslovan


Coal Co.

vs.

New York Central Railroad

**APPEARANCE**

For Defendant



John Hanslovan and Mary Hanslovan  
t/d/b/a Hanslovan Coal Co. | IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF  
CLEARFIELD COUNTY, PA.

VERSUS

New York Central Railroad

No. 357 Term November 1960

To William T. Hagerty,

Prothonotary.

Sir: Enter our appearance for New York Central Railroad  
defendant

in above case.

BELL, SILBERBLATT & SWOOPER  
by *M. J. Althoff*  
Attorney for Defendant

September 14, 1961.

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF CLEARFIELD COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

JOHN HANSLOVAN and MARY :  
HANSLOVAN t/d/b/a HANSLOVAN :  
COAL COMPANY :  
VS. : No. 357 November Term, 1960  
NEW YORK CENTRAL RAILROAD :  
:

PRAECIPE

TO: William T. Hagerty, Prothonotary

Sir:

You are hereby directed to change the caption of the Plaintiff in the above entitled action to read John Hanslovan and Mary Hanslovan, husband and wife.

*Edward T. Kelley*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

*Bell, Silverblatt & Swartz*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Attorneys for Defendants



## SUMMONS

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  
County of Clearfield

To..... NEW YORK CENTRAL RAILROAD.....

You are notified that JOHN HANSLOVAN AND MARY HANSLOVAN t/a/b/a  
HANSLOVAN CO. CO.

the plaintiff s, ha ve commenced an action in SUMMONS IN TRESPASS  
..... against you which you are  
required to defend:

Date January 7, 1961

*Howard H. Nagerty*  
Prothonotary.

No. 357 Nov. Term 1960

John Henslovan and Mary  
Henslovan t/a/b/a  
Henslovan Coal Co.

versus

New York Central Railroad

## SUMMONS

Edward T. Kelley  
Attorney

1/DB  
John Haestovan and  
Mary Haestovan  
Haestovan Coal Co  
VERSUS  
New York Central Railroad

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF  
CLEARFIELD COUNTY, PA.

No. 357 Term Feb. 1960

To W<sup>M</sup>. T. Hegerty Pro  
Prothonotary.

Sir: Enter appearance for

Issue summons in trespass returnable as so long  
against the above defendant

in above case.

E

Edward T. Kelley

Attorney for Peffis


Mr. L. L. Beckler

No. 357 Term July 1902

54

## APPEARANCE

۱۰۷



## SUMMONS

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  
County of Clearfield

To NEW YORK CENTRAL RAILROAD

You are notified that JOHN HANSLOVAN AND MARY HANSLOVAN t/d/b/a  
HANSLOVAN COAL CO.

the plaintiff s, ha ve commenced an action in SUMMONS IN TRESPASS  
against you which you are  
required to defend:

Date January 7, 1961

*Wm. F. Slagerty*  
Prothonotary.

No. 357 Nov. Term 1960

John Hanslovan and Mary

Hanslovan t/d/b/a

Hanslovan Coal Co.

versus

New York Central Railroad

## SUMMONS

Edward T. Kelley

Attorney