

DOCKET NO. 173

Number      Term      Year

168      February      1961

85  
Stanley Petrosky

Versus

53  
Joseph E. Petroski

*Joe Lee*  
IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF  
CLEARFIELD COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA


No. 169 February Term, 1961

STANLEY PETROSKY,  
Plaintiff

vs.

J. E. PETROSKI,  
Defendant

PETITION TO OPEN JUDGMENT



BAIRD & McCAMLEY  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
PHILIPSBURG, PENNSYLVANIA

*Service accepted 11-24-61 and issuance  
of rule waived*

*Joe Lee  
Dated 11-24-61*

Joseph J. Lee



October 10.1961

## TO SHERIFF OF CLEARFIELD COUNTY, DR.

PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT	NO.	TERM	AMOUNT
Stanley Petrosky 400 Curtin Street Osceola Mills, Pa.		No 168	Feb Term 1961	
vs		No 13	September Term 1961	
Joseph E. Petrosky RD. Osceola Mills, Pa.				
RDR.	3.75	Exec Debt		\$350.00
Levy	3.75	Int Fr 12/29/58		61.25
Service	3.75	Prothnnotary		7.50
<del>✓</del> d/s	2.00	Plaintiff		3.50
Mileage	4.20	Attys Comm		17.50
Commission	<u>2.00</u>	Sheriffs Costs		24.45
Total	\$24.45	Total		\$464.20
	7.00			
	<u>8 17.45</u>			



Sheriff costs paid  
from 20.00  
Costs, Adams  
7.55 refund  
to pay Lee

Please Give This Prompt Attention

No Sheriff shall be required to render any service in any civil proceedings until he receives indemnity satisfactory to him for the payment of his official fees, mileage, expenses, and legal costs or payment of same, from the party at whose instance or for whose benefit such service is to be performed, but any money advanced for his charges, and not earned or expended shall be refunded to the payer thereof. And in case he does not receive his charges in advance or upon demand, he may file with his return an itemized list of unpaid fees, mileage, costs and expenses respecting the services to which such return relates, and if no exceptions are filed to the same within thirty days, from the time of making such return, the items included in such list shall be considered taxed, and confirmed as fees and costs due such Sheriff and become a judgment in law against the party for whose benefit the services were rendered as well as against any other party who may be or become liable for such fees and costs by law; and the said Sheriff may issue an execution for the amount so taxed, and collect the same from any party so chargeable therewith without further suit, and shall not be disqualified to enforce such execution by reason of his interest therein.

P. L. Laws.

1923

Act No. 220, page 347.

James B. Reese  
Charles G. Ammerman

SHERIFF

Return this Bill with Remittance

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF CLEARFIELD COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

STANLEY PETROSKY

• • • • •

-VS-

No. 168 February Term, 1961

JOSEPH E. PETROSKI

**ORDER OF COURT**

AND, NOW, this 17th day of November, 1961, the Court having read and considered the foregoing Petition, and on motion of Baird & McCamley, Esqs., Attorneys for the Defendant, grants a Rule on the Plaintiff to show cause why said judgment entered in the above entitled cause should not be open and the Defendant let into a defense. Meanwhile all proceedings to stay.

Returnable sec. leg.

By the Court,

/s/ John J. Pentz  
P.J.

Certified from the record this 22nd day of November, A. D. 1961.

Wm. J. Slattery  
Prothonotary.

JOSEPH J. LEE  
ATTORNEY AT LAW  
CLEARFIELD, PENNSYLVANIA  
POPLAR 5-7893

November 14, 1961

Charles G. Ammerman, Sheriff  
Court House  
Clearfield, Pennsylvania

Re: Stanley Petrosky vs. J.E. Petroski  
No. 169 February Term, 1961


Stanley Petrosky vs. Joseph E.  
Petroski - No. 168 February Term,  
1961

Dear Sheriff Ammerman:

Please proceed forthwith to the Sheriff's  
sale on the goods levied in the above two  
proceedings.

Very truly yours,

JJL:DH



# SHERIFF'S LEVY

BY VIRTUE of Writ of Execution, issued out of the Court of Common Pleas of Clearfield County, Pennsylvania, and to me directed, I have levied on the following described property of the Defendant, situated in the

International Truck

Chev. Panel Truck

Ford Wrecker Truck

1957 Plymouth

1 lot used cars

Seized, taken in execution, and to be sold as the property of

Joseph E. Petrosky

CHARLES G. AMMERMAN

Sheriff

Sheriff's Office, Clearfield, Pa., November 9, 1961

## Writ of Execution - Money Judgments.

Stanley Petrosky

vs.

Joseph E. Petroski

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS  
OF CLEARFIELD COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA.

NO. 13 September

Term, 1961

## WRIT OF EXECUTION

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania } SS:  
County of Clearfield }

To the Sheriff of Clearfield County:

To satisfy the judgment, interest and costs against Joseph E. Petroski

..., defendant(s);

(1) You are directed to levy upon the following property of the defendant(s) and to sell his interest therein;

(2) You are also directed to attach the following property of the defendant not levied upon in the possession of \_\_\_\_\_, as garnishee,

(Specifically describe property)

and to notify the garnishee that

(a) an attachment has been issued;

(b) the garnishee is enjoined from paying any debt to or for the account of the defendant(s) and from delivering any property of the defendant or otherwise disposing thereof.

(3) if property of the defendant not levied upon and subject to attachment is found in the possession of anyone other than a named garnishee, you are directed to notify him that he has been added as a garnishee and is enjoined as above stated.

Amount due \$350.00

Interest from Dec. 29, 1958 Attys. Comm. \$ 17.50

Costs (to be added) Plff. \$3.50 Prothonotary \$7.50 , \$\_\_\_\_\_

Prothonotary \$7.50 \$  
*John J. Dwyer*  
Prothonotary

By \_\_\_\_\_.  
Deputy

Date October 7, 1961


Proth'y. No. 64

RECEIVED WRIT THIS 20th day  
of October A. D. 1961,  
at 11:25 A.M.  
James B. Reese  
Sheriff

No. 168 February Term, 1961  
No. 13 September Term, 19 61  
IN THE COURT OF COMMON  
PLEAS, CLEARFIELD COUNTY,  
PENNSYLVANIA.

Stanley Petrosky  
vs.  
400 Curtin St., Osceola Mills, Pa.

WRIT OF EXECUTION (Money Judgments)	
EXECUTION DEBT	\$350.00
Interest from - - -	12.29.58
Prothonotary - - -	7.50
Use Attorney - - -	
Use Plaintiff - - -	3.50
Attorney's Comm. - - -	17.50
Satisfaction - - -	
Sheriff - - -	



Now, to wit, this 21<sup>st</sup> day of September 1962  
The Sheriff is directed to return this writ as unexecuted  
*Attn: Joseph J. Lee  
Att. for Plaintiff*

Now, September 21, 1962 by direction of Joseph J. Lee, Attorney  
for the Plaintiff, I return this Writ as unexecuted.  
Sheriff's costs paid.

So answers,

*James B. Reese*  
James B. Reese  
Sheriff

Joseph J. Lee  
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)

Joseph J. Lee  
Attorney(s) for Plaintiff(s)

118

The following three persons have been appointed Arbitrators in  
the case of 168 & 169 Feb. 1961 (Execution Nos. 13 & 14 Sept., 1961)

Stanley Petrosky vs/- Joseph E. Petrosky


the first named being the Chairman of the Board:

Dan P. Arnold, Chairman, Thomas F. Kilroe and Richard M.  
Sharp

Hearing of the case has been fixed for Tuesday, September  
11, 1962 at 1:30 P.M.

In Court Room 4

Very truly yours

  
Carl E. Walker  
Prothonotary

Stanley Petrosky  
 vs.  
 Joseph E. Petroski

## IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

OF CLEARFIELD COUNTY

168 &amp; 169 Feb 1961

No. 13414 Sept Term, 1961 Ex

## PRAECIPE FOR APPOINTMENT OF ARBITRATORS (1)

TO THE PROTHONOTARY OF SAID COURT:

The undersigned, pursuant to the Act of June 16, 1836, P. L. 715, as amended by the Act of June 14, 1952 (1951-52) P. L. 2087 and further amended July 22, 1955, Laws 1955, Act No. 91 and Clearfield County Court Rule, requests you to appoint a **BOARD OF ARBITRATORS** and certifies that:

( The amount in controversy is \$2,000 or less.  
 ( The case is at issue.  
 ( ) An agreement of reference has been filed of record.  
 ( ) Judgment has been entered for want of an appearance.

## RECORD APPEARANCES HAVE BEEN ENTERED FOR:-

Plaintiff *Joseph J. Lee*Defendant *John J. McCanley*Date *8-28-62*Attorney for *Pl.*

## TEN DAY PERIOD FOR APPOINTMENT OF ARBITRATORS IS WAIVED (2)

Attorney for *Pl.*Attorney for *Def.*

## TIME AND PLACE OF HEARING and APPOINTMENT OF BOARD

Now, *August 28*, 1962, hearing of the above case is fixed for *Tues.*,  
*Sept. 11*, 1962, in *1:30 PM* Room, Clearfield County Court House, Clearfield,  
 Pa., and the following Clearfield County Bar members:

*Stanley Petrosky* Chairman  
*Thomas J. Kiloe*  
*Richard D. Sharp*

are appointed as the **BOARD OF ARBITRATORS** to hear testimony, make report, and render their award within twenty (20) days from date of hearing.

I hereby certify that notice by mail was duly given to said Arbitrators, Attorneys, and/or parties of record of said appointment, time, and place of hearing.

## WITNESS MY HAND AND THE SEAL OF THE COURT

*Carl D. Walker*  
 Prothonotary  
 by *Patricia P. Dunning*  
 Deputy

(1) See Court Rule 27

(2) Waiver requires signatures of counsel for all parties.

In the Court of Common Pleas  
of Clearfield County


No. 168-169 Feb. Term, 1961

*Stanley Steaby*

vs.

*J. C. Steaby*

PRAECIPE FOR APPOINTMENT OF  
ARBITRATORS



Stanley Petrosky


vs.

Joseph E. Petrosky

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS  
OF CLEARFIELD COUNTY(Execution Nos. 13 & 14 Sept., 1961)  
No. 168-169 February Term, 1961

## OATH OR AFFIRMATION OF ARBITRATORS

Now, this 11th day of September, 1962, we the undersigned, having been appointed arbitrators in the above case do hereby swear, or affirm, that we will hear the evidence and allegations of the parties and justly and equitably try all matters in variance submitted to us, determine the matters in controversy, make an award, and transmit the same to the Prothonotary within twenty (20) days of the date of hearing of the same.



Sworn to and subscribed before me


this 11th day of September,



Prothonotary

## AWARD OF ARBITRATORS

Now, this 11th day of September, 1962, we, the undersigned arbitrators appointed in this case, after having been duly sworn, and having heard the evidence and allegations of the parties, do award and find as follows: in favor of the Plaintiff and against the defendant in the sum of Six Hundred (\$650.00) Fifty Dollars.




## ENTRY OF AWARD

Now, this 12th day of Sept., 1962, I hereby certify that the above award was entered of record this date in the proper dockets and notice by mail of the return and entry of said award duly given to the parties or their attorneys.

## WITNESS MY HAND AND THE SEAL OF THE COURT



Carl B. Walker  
Prothonotary  
by 

In the Court of Common Pleas  
of Clearfield County


No. 168-169 Feb. Term, 1957 61  
(Execution Nos. 13 & 14 Sept. 1961

Stanley Petrosky

vs.

Joseph E. Petrosky

OATH OR AFFIRMATION  
OF ARBITRATORS  
AND AWARD




169 Feb 1961

For value received hereby assign the within  
note to

and guarantee payment of the same at maturity, waiving demand, notice and protest, and I/We do hereby authorize and empower any Attorney of any Court of Record to confess judgment against Me/Us jointly and severally for the sum within named with interest, attorney's commission, and with waiver of stay of execution, and the benefit of inquisition and all exemption laws.

(SEAL)

(SEAL)



WM. F. FAUGERT  
PROTHONOTARY

卷之三

50 (24)

— 22 —

2720

— 8 —

Philipsburg, Pa., <u>January 22, 1957</u>	\$ <u>400.00</u>
For value received I/We promise to pay to the order of <u>Stanley Hartley</u> <u>10% interest per month</u>	
the principal sum of <u>Four hundred</u> <u>100</u> Dollars without defalcation, with interest from maturity, said principal sum to be payable at The First National Bank of Philipsburg, Pa., <u>AC-2-244-555</u> instruments (number) <u>beginning</u> <u>1-22-57</u> , of \$ <u>10</u> (last installment may be unequal) and an equal amount on the same date of each month thereafter until paid.	
In case said installments, or any of them, are not paid within 15 days after the same become due, or in the event of the death, insolvency, bankruptcy, failure in business or the entry of judgment against any of the undersigned or any endorser or guarantor of this obligation then, at the option of the holder hereof, the entire balance of principal unpaid shall become due and payable.	
In the event that I/We shall fail to make any payment herein provided for at the time when the same becomes due under the provisions hereof, and said payment shall become overdue for a period in excess of 15 days, I/We promise to pay a late charge of five cents (5c) for each dollar so overdue, for the purpose of defraying the expense of following up and handling the said delinquent payment.	
If not paid when due and payable I/We hereby empower any attorney of any Court of Record within the United States to appear for Me/Us and with or without declaration filed confess judgment against Me/Us as of any term for the above sum with costs of suit and attorney's commission of 5 per cent, for collection, with release of all errors, and without stay of execution; and inquisition and extension upon any levy upon real estate is hereby waived; and condemnation agreed to and the exemption of personal and real property from levy and sale on any execution thereon, is hereby expressly waived; and no benefit of exemption shall be claimed under and by virtue of any exemption law now in force or which may be hereafter passed.	
Witness My/Our hand and seal	
Address	<u>S. J. Hartley</u>
-SEAL-	
-SEAL-	

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF CLEARFIELD COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA  
STANLEY PETROSKY, Plaintiff }  
vs. } No. 169 February Term, 1961  
J. E. PETROSKI, Defendant }

TO THE HONORABLE JOHN J. PENTZ, PRESIDENT JUDGE OF THE SAID COURT

The Petition of J. E. Petroski, the Defendant herein,  
respectfully represents:

1. That your Petitioner is the Defendant above named,  
and resides in Decatur Township, Clearfield County, Pennsylvania,  
and the Plaintiff is Stanley Petrosky who resides in Osceola Mills,  
Clearfield County, Pennsylvania.

2. That the Plaintiff herein, Stanley Petrosky, con-  
fessed judgment against your Petitioner on the basis of a Warrant  
of Attorney contained in a certain judgment note dated January  
22, 1959; the said judgment note being a matter of record to the  
above term and number; writ of execution being issued on said  
judgment to No. 14 September Term, 1961.

3. That said judgment note in the face amount of  
Four Hundred (\$400.00) Dollars was one of a series of notes be-  
tween the Plaintiff and the Defendant given in late 1958 and  
early 1959; said series of notes consisting of three (3) notes  
in the amounts of \$350.00; \$559.72 and \$400.00 respectively. In  
addition, at approximately the same time there was a note given  
from the Plaintiff to the Defendant. These notes representing a  
series of transactions between the said parties.

4. Subsequent to the issuance of the notes, there  
were various dealings between the Plaintiff and the Defendant with  
credit to be given as a result of such dealings. These dealings  
consisting of the Defendant conveying a piece of land to the  
Plaintiff for the consideration of Six Hundred (\$600.00) Dollars,  
selling a car to the Plaintiff for the consideration of One Hundred

(\$100.00) Dollars and rental for an apartment owned by the Defendant and resided in by the Plaintiff with total rental of One Hundred Ninety-five (\$195.00) Dollars credited.

5. It was agreed by the Parties, being the Plaintiff and the Defendant, that the various notes, both of the Defendant and the Plaintiff, were to bear no interest.

6. The one note above noted in the amount of \$559.72 was returned to the Defendant by the Plaintiff, at which time it was understood that the balance outstanding on the other two notes was to be consolidated in one note for future disposition; such consolidation never taking place.

7. The total consideration or face amounts of the three (3) notes hereinbefore set forth amount to One Thousand Three Hundred Nine and 72/100 (\$1309.72) Dollars whereas the total credits in reference to the land, automobile, and rentals above set forth, amount to Eight Hundred Ninety-five (\$895.00) Dollars leaving a balance of Four Hundred Fourteen and 72/100 (\$414.72) Dollars.

8. The Plaintiff also confessed judgment against your Petitioner on the basis of a Warrant of Attorney contained in a certain judgment note dated December 29, 1958; said judgment note being a matter of record to No. 168 February Term, 1961; writ of execution being issued on said judgment to No. 13 September Term, 1961. The execution debt on the two judgments total Seven Hundred and Fifty (\$750.00) Dollars whereas, as hereinbefore noted, there is only a balance of Four Hundred Fourteen and 72/100 (\$414.72) Dollars due and owing.

9. The Plaintiff has refused to give credit to the Defendant against the notes hereinbefore noted.

WHEREFORE, your Petitioner prays your Honorable Court to grant a Rule against the above named Plaintiff to show cause why the judgment entered in the above entitled case should not be

open and the Defendant let into a defense. Meanwhile all proceedings to stay.

J. E. Petroski

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA  
COUNTY OF CENTRE

SS:

Before me, a Notary Public in and for the above named State and County, personally appeared J. E. Petroski, the Petitioner named in the foregoing Petition, who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the facts set forth in the foregoing Petition to open judgment are true and correct.

J. E. Petroski

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 17 day of November, 1961.

Leonard Head  
Notary Public

My Commission expires 2/23/65

ORDER OF COURT

AND, NOW, THIS 17<sup>th</sup> day of November, 1961, the Court having read and considered the foregoing Petition, and on motion of Baird & McCamley, Esqs., Attorneys for the Defendant, grants a Rule on the Plaintiff to show cause why said judgment entered in the above entitled cause should not be open and the Defendant let into a defense. Meanwhile all proceedings to stay.

Returnable the 17<sup>th</sup> day of November, 1961.

*Sec'y* BY THE COURT

*John P. Purd*  
P. J.

Mr. Hanley.

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF CLEARFIELD COUNTY, PENNA. No. 169 February Term, 1961	STANLEY PETROSKY	VS	J. E. PETROSKY	ANSWER TO PETITION TO OPEN
---	------------------	----	----------------	----------------------------

(6)

FILED  
Dec 5 1961  
Wm. T. HICKEY  
PROTHONOTARY

JOSEPH J. LEE  
ATTORNEY-AT-LAW  
CLEARFIELD, PA.

(and now the 13<sup>th</sup> day of December, 1961, since of the return  
concerning service of process, I hereby accept and receipt of a copy thereof hereby acknowledged  
that the Plaintiff  
by John J. Hanley  
Atts for Defendant.

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF CLEARFIELD COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

STANLEY PETROSKY : :

VS : No. 169 February Term, 1961

J.E. PETROSKI : :

ANSWER TO PETITION TO OPEN

TO THE HONORABLE JOHN J. PENTZ, PRESIDENT JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

COMES NOW, Stanley Petrosky, and by counsel files this Answer to the Petition to Open the Judgment filed by the defendant in the above entitled proceedings.

(1). Admitted.

(2). Admitted.

(3). It is admitted that three notes were given by the defendant to the plaintiff in respective amounts of \$350.00, \$559.72 and \$400.00. It is denied that at the same time there was a note given from the plaintiff to the defendant. In further answer thereto it is averred that on August 31, 1957 the plaintiff gave a note to the defendant in the amount of \$8000.00, which said note was given at the time an agreement was entered into between the plaintiff and the defendant whereby the defendant agreed to sell to the plaintiff a one-half interest in the license, business, equipment and building, known as "THE TEE", situate in Decatur Township, Clearfield County. It is further averred that certain differences having arisen between the parties involving the rights of the plaintiff under said agreement and with respect to other transactions between the parties, that in the latter part of 1958 it was agreed that the said agreement should be cancelled and a settlement effected between the parties hereto. Said settlement consisted of the defendant

cancelling the \$8000.00 note and issuing the three notes hereinabove referred to and conveying to the plaintiff herein a piece of land situate in Decatur Township near said "THE TEE", with a valuation of \$600.00. A deed was executed on April 20, 1960 by the defendant to the plaintiff - said deed being recorded in Deed Book 482, page 358, and incorporated herein by reference.

(4). Paragraph 4 is denied as stated, and on the contrary the facts set forth in paragraph 3 of this Answer are incorporated herein by reference. In further answer thereto the defendant did sell a car to the plaintiff, but not for the amount of \$100.00 and, in fact, for the sum of \$142.00, which said car was paid for by check dated September 28, 1959 drawn on the First National Bank of Philipsburg on the account of Stanley Petrosky, payable to J.E. Petroski, which said check was cashed. It is likewise averred that commencing in January, 1959 the plaintiff paid rental in the amount of \$5.00 per week.

(5). Paragraph 5 is denied, and on the contrary said notes speak for themselves.

(6). Paragraph 6 is denied as stated, and on the contrary it is averred that said note in the amount of \$559.72 was returned to the defendant by the plaintiff, but that there was no understanding concerning the other two outstanding notes.

(7). Paragraph 7 is denied, and on the contrary it is averred that the said notes speak for themselves, and that the defendant is entitled to no credits thereon.

(8). It is admitted that the plaintiff confessed judgment against the defendant petitioner on the note referred to therein and that the total execution debt, exclusive of interest on said two judgments, is \$750.00. It is denied that the defendant is entitled to any credits.

(9). Admitted.

WHEREFORE, the plaintiff prays that the rule be discharged and that execution proceedings be permitted to continue.

Stanley Petrosky  
(Stanley Petrosky)

STATE OF PENNSYLVANIA:

:SS

COUNTY OF CLEARFIELD :

STANLEY PETROSKY, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the facts set forth in the foregoing Answer are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief.

Stanley Petrosky  
(Stanley Petrosky)

Subscribed and sworn to before

me this 1<sup>st</sup> day of December, 1961.

Guy Coudriet

My Commission expires January 4, 1964

GUY COUDRIET, Justice of the Peace  
R. D. Osceola Mills, Penna.


IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS  
OF CLEARFIELD COUNTY, PENNA.  
No. 169 February Term 1961

STANLEY PETROSKY

VS

J. E. PETROSKY

ORDER



JOHN J. PENTZ  
PRESIDENT JUDGE  
CLEARFIELD, PENNSYLVANIA

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF CLEARFIELD COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA.


STANLEY PETROSKY :  
VS : No. 169 February Term 1961  
J. E. PETROSKY :  
:

O R D E R

NOW, June 22, 1962, after hearing testimony in the above petition to open judgment and let defendant into a defense, and argument thereon, it appears that the defendant is entitled to have his defense presented for adjudication; and, accordingly, the judgment is opened and defendant permitted to put in such defense as he may have.

Exception noted.

BY THE COURT

  
John H. Kuntz  
President Judge

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF CLEARFIELD COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

STANLEY PETROSKY

:

:

VS : No. 169 February Term, 1961

J.E. PETROSKI

:

14 Sept 1961

PRAECIPE FOR WRIT OF EXECUTION


TO WILLIAM T. HAGERTY, PROTHONOTARY

SIR:

Issue a writ of execution against the defendant and in favor of the plaintiff to recover the amount due, with interest and costs.

Computation:

Real debt - - - - -	\$400.00
Int. from Jan. 22,	
1959 - - - - -	
Attorney's comm. -	20.00

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Attorney for Stanley Petrosky

Dated: October 7, 1961

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS  
OF CLEARFIELD COUNTY, PENNA.  
No. ~~169~~ February Term, 1961


STANLEY PETROSKY

VS

J. E. PETROSKI

PRAECIPE FOR WRIT OF  
EXECUTION

Issued. By 166.



IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF CLEARFIELD COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

STANLEY PETROSKY :

VS : No. 169 February Term, 1961


J.E. PETROSKI :

PRAECIPE

TO: WILLIAM T. HAGERTY, PROTHONOTARY

SIR:

Place the above case on the argument list for the coming term of Court on rule issued to show cause why judgment should not be opened and Plaintiff's answer thereto. Combine this case with No. 168 February Term, 1961.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Attorney for Plaintiff

Dated: December 5, 1961

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS  
OF CLEARFIELD COUNTY, PENNA.  
No. 169 February Term, 1961

STANLEY PETROSKY

VS J. E. PETROSKI  
PRAECIPE  
TO: MARY ANN YATONTHONSKI, ALICE YATONTHONSKI

SPECIE

THE PETROSKI

:

AV

:


NO 169 SEPTEMBER 26, 1961

THE PETROSKI

:

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF CLEARFIELD COUNTY, PENNA.

STANLEY PETROSKI  
VS J. E. PETROSKI



IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF CLEARFIELD COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

STANLEY PETROSKY :

:

VS : No. 169 February Term, 1961

:


J.E. PETROSKI :

PRAECIPE FOR APPEARANCE

TO WILLIAM T. HAGERTY, PROTHONOTARY

SIR:

Enter my appearance on behalf of the plaintiff in the above  
entitled case.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Attorney for Stanley Petrosky

Dated: October 7, 1961


IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS  
OF CLEARFIELD COUNTY, PENNA.  
No. 169 February Term, 1961

STANLEY PETROSKY

VS.

J.E. PETROSKI

PRAECIPE FOR APPEARANCE



IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF CLEARFIELD COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

STANLEY PETROSKY : :

VS : No. 168 February Term, 1961


JOSEPH E. PETROSKI : :

PRAECIPE FOR SATISFACTION

TO: CARL E. WALKER, PROTHONOTARY

SIR:

Mark the judgment in the above case satisfied.



\_\_\_\_\_  
Attorney for Plaintiff

Dated: October 16, 1962


IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS  
OF CLEARFIELD COUNTY, PENNA.  
No. 168 February Term, 1961

STANLEY PETROSKY

VS

JOSEPH E. PETROSKI

PRAECLPICE FOR SATISFACTION



85-  
STANLEY PETROSKY ~~Sat~~

Versus ~~45~~

JOSEPH E. PETROSKI ~~Sat~~

In the Court of Common Pleas  
Clearfield County, Pennsylvania

No. 168 Feb Term, 19 61

### CERTIFICATE OF DISCONTINUANCE

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  
County of Clearfield } SS

I, Archie Hill, Prothonotary of the Court of Common Pleas, in and for the County and Commonwealth aforesaid, do hereby certify that the above stated case was this day, the 8th day of June A. D. 19 66 marked settled and discontinued ~~XXXXXX~~ SATISFIED.

Record costs in the sum of \$ 70.85 have been paid in full by  
Joseph J. Lee, Attorney for Plaintiff.

In Witness Whereof, I have hereunto affixed my hand and seal of this Court at Clearfield, Clearfield County, Pennsylvania, this 8th day of June  
A. D. 19 66.

-----  
Prothonotary

Mark Salter  
on 100% Grade  
& 100% Grade