

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF CLEARFIELD COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

ROBERT E. STUART and LORI STUART, CIVIL DIVISION
his wife,

No. 07-1065

Plaintiff,

v.

THE SHERWIN-WILLIAMS COMPANY, a
corporation,

Defendant.

ANSWER

AND NOW, comes defendant The Sherwin-Williams Company, by and through the undersigned counsel and files the within Answer and New Matter to plaintiffs' Complaint.

1. Defendant has insufficient information to determine the accuracy of the allegations contained in Paragraph 1 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, and hence, denies the same and demands strict proof at time of trial.

2. Paragraph 2 of plaintiffs' Complaint, as drafted, is admitted in part and denied in part. It is denied that Defendant is a Pennsylvania corporation. To the contrary, it is averred that Defendant is an Ohio corporation. It is admitted that Defendant has a store and conducts business in Clearfield County, Pennsylvania, but the remainder of the paragraph is denied because "relevant times" are not described or defined.

3. Paragraph 3 is denied as stated because again "all times relevant" are not described or defined.

4. Defendant has insufficient information to determine the accuracy of the allegations contained in Paragraph 4 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, and hence, denies the same and demands strict proof at time of trial.