

5. Paragraph 5 of plaintiffs' Complaint is admitted. By way of further response, defendant believes and therefore avers that said ladder was sold to Robert E. Stuart on May 26, 2005.

6. Defendant has insufficient information to determine the accuracy of the allegations contained in Paragraph 6 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, and hence, denies the same and demands strict proof at time of trial.

7. Defendant has insufficient information to determine the accuracy of the allegations contained in Paragraph 7 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, and hence, denies the same and demands strict proof at time of trial.

8. Paragraph 8 of plaintiffs' Complaint is denied as stated, for the same reason set forth in Paragraph 3 above.

9. Defendant has insufficient information to determine the accuracy of the allegations contained in Paragraph 9 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, and hence, denies the same and demands strict proof at time of trial.

10. Paragraph 10 sets forth a conclusion of law which requires no response. To the extent that the response may be required, Defendant has insufficient information to determine the accuracy of the allegations contained in Paragraph 10 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, and hence, denies the same and demands strict proof at time of trial.

11. Paragraph 11 is denied as stated. To the contrary, it is averred that defendant made no specific warranties to plaintiff.

12. Paragraph 12 is denied as stated. Defendant has insufficient information regarding husband-plaintiff's state of mind at the time of purchase, and hence denies the