

DOCKET NO. 173

NUMBER	TERM	YEAR
516	February	1961

Silvio M. Calliari

VERSUS

Louise M. Calliari

Clearfield County, ss:

The Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, to

Wm. C. Chase, Esq.,

Greeting:

Know you, that in confidence of your prudence and fidelity we have appointed you, and by these presents do give unto you full power and authority, in pursuance of an order made in our County Court of Common Pleas, for the County of Clearfield, in a certain cause there depending, wherein

Silvio M. Calliari

Plaintiff ,

and

Louise M. Calliari

Defendant ,

to call before you at a certain day and place by you for that purpose to be appointed, all and every person who may be named to you on the part of the parties

as witnesses in the said cause, and then and there to examine each of the said witnesses upon their oath or solemn affirmation touching the premises and reduce their testimony to writing and report the same with form of Decree and when you shall have done so, you are to send the name before our Judge at Clearfield, at our said Court, together with the interrogatories and this writ, and under your hand and seal.

In Testimony Whereof, we have caused the seal of our said Court to be hereunto affixed.

WITNESS, the Hon. John J. Pentz, President of our said Court, at Clearfield, the

22nd day of May, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and sixty-one

Wm T. Hagerty

Prothonotary

To the Honorable, the Judge, &c.:

The execution of this commission appears in a certain schedule hereunto annexed.

Wm. C. Chase
COMMISSIONER.

No. 516 February Term, 1961

SILVIO M. CALLIARI

VERSUS

LOUISE M. CALLIARI

COMMISSION

John B. Gates, Attorney.

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF CLEARFIELD COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

SILVIO M. CALLIARI	:	No. 516 February Term, 1961
	:	
VS.	:	IN DIVORCE
	:	
LOUISE M. CALLIARI	:	
	:	

DOCKET ENTRIES

APRIL 17, 1961, COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE filed. One copy certified to the Sheriff.

APRIL 24, 1961, Sheriff's Return filed: NOW, April 22, 1961 at 6:15 P.M., served the within Complaint In Divorce on Louise M. Calliari at place of residence, 417 3/4 DuBois Avenue, DuBois, Penna., by handing to Louise M. Calliari, personally, a true and attested copy of the original Complaint In Divorce and made known to her the contents thereof. So answers, Charles G. Ammerman, Sheriff.

NOW, May 22, 1961, by motion on the watch-book, Wm. C. Chase, Esq., is appointed Master to take the testimony and report the same with form of Decree.

Certified from the record this 23rd day of May, A.D., 1961

Wm T. Hagerty
Prothonotary

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF CLEARFIELD COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

SILVIO M. CALLIARI

-vs-

LOUISE M. CALLIARI

:
:
:
:
:

No. ~~516~~ February Term, 1961

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

NOW COMES the Plaintiff, by his attorney, John B. Gates, Esq., and brings this Complaint in Divorce against the above named Louise M. Calliari, upon a cause of action, whereof the following is a statement:

1. The name of the Plaintiff is SILVIO M. CALLIARI.
2. The name of the Defendant is LOUISE M. CALLIARI.
3. The Plaintiff is a resident of Penfield, Huston Township, Clearfield County, Pennsylvania and the Defendant is a resident of 417 W. DuBois Avenue, City of DuBois, Pennsylvania.
4. The Plaintiff has resided in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania for a period exceeding ten years and has been a bona fide resident of Clearfield County, Pennsylvania for at least the past ten years.
5. The Plaintiff and the Defendant were married on October 25, 1947 by Father Cujari, a Roman Catholic priest, in the City of DuBois, Clearfield County, Pennsylvania.
6. The Defendant has offered such indignities to the person of the Plaintiff, who is the injured and innocent

spouse, as to render his condition intolerable and his life burdensome.

7. That the following named children have been born to this union and are presently residing with the Defendant:


- a. Thomas J. Calliari, age 12 years;
- b. Gary Lee Calliari, age 11 years; and
- c. Susan Loiuse Calliari, age 9 years.

8. That the Plaintiff and the Defendant are not seized of any jointly held property.

9. That the Plaintiff and the Defendant have entered into no collusive agreement regarding this action.

10. That neither of the parties, Plaintiff and Defendant have ever applied in this or any other county for a divorce from the other party to this action, or for an annulment of marriage.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff respectfully prays your Honorable Court that he be granted an absolute divorce, separating himself from the said Louise M. Calliari, the Defendant, as if he had never been married, or as if the said Defendant were naturally dead.



Attorney for Plaintiff

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA :
: SS:
COUNTY OF CLEARFIELD :

SILVIO M. CALLIARI, being duly sworn according to law,
deposes and says that the facts set forth in the foregoing
Complaint are true and correct to the best of his knowledge,
information and belief.

Silvio M. Calliari

Sworn to and subscribed
before me this 14 day
of April, 1961.

Wm. J. Hagerty

PROTHONOTARY
My Commission Expires
1st Monday Jan. 1962

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
OF CLEARFIELD COUNTY, PENNA.
No. **546** PROBATEY FORM, 1961
THE DIVORCE

SILVIO M. CALLIARI

VS

LOUISE M. CALLIARI

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

TO WITHIN NAMED DEFENDANT:

You are hereby notified
to plead to the enclosed
complaint within twenty
(20) days from the service
hereof.

JOHN B. GATES
Attorney for Plaintiff

BY

FILED
APR 17 1961

WM. T. HAGERTY
JOHN B. GATES
ATTORNEY-AT-LAW
CLEARFIELD, PA.

25:00 by city

In the Court of Common pleas of Clearfield County, Pa.

Silvio M Calliari

No 516 Feb Term 1961

vs

Louise M Calliari

Complaint In Divorce

(Sheriff,s Return)

Now, April 22, 1961 at 6:15 P.M. served the within Complaint In Divorce on Louise M Calliari at place of residence 417 3/4 W Du Bois, Ave, Du Bois, Pa by handing to Louise M Calliari personally a true and attested copy of the original Complaint In Divorce and made known to the contents thereof.

Costs Sheriff Ammerman \$11.60
(Paid by Prothonotary)


OK. 5741

So Answers

Charles G. Ammerman
Charles G. Ammerman
Sheriff

Sworn to before me this 24th
day of April 1961 A D.

Wm T. Hagerty
Prothonotary.



IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF CLEARFIELD COUNTY, PENNA.

SILVIO M. CALLIARI

* No. 516, February Term, 1961

-VS-


LOUISE M. CALLIARI

* IN DIVORCE


NOTICE OF MASTER'S HEARING


TO: Louise M. Calliari
417-3/4 W. DuBois Ave.
DuBois, Pennsylvania

You are hereby notified that I have been appointed Master in the Divorce Action of Silvio M. Calliari vs. Louise M. Calliari, in the Court of Common Pleas of Clearfield County, Pennsylvania, and to No. 516, February Term, 1961, and that I will hold a meeting for the purpose of taking testimony in said case at my office in the Keystone Building, Clearfield, Pennsylvania, on Tuesday, June 20, 1961 at 10:00 A.M. DST, when and where you may attend with witnesses, if you so desire.


William C. Chase, Master



NOW, 29th of may, 1961, Service of Master's Notice of Hearing is hereby accepted.


Plaintiff


Attorney for Plaintiff

NOW, May 29th, 1961 at 4:00pm o'clock PM, the undersigned police officer served personally on the Defendant at her residence at 417-3/4 W. DuBois Avenue, DuBois, Penna., a true and attested copy of the Notice of Master's Hearing by handing to her a true and attested copy thereof and the said Louise M. Calliari being known to him.

Sworn and subscribed to before me this 29 day of June, 1961.



B. V. Johnson
city clerk

\$3.00 cost.

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF CLEARFIELD COUNTY, PENNA.

SILVIO M. CALLIARI

-VS-

LOUISE M. CALLIARI

* No. 516, February Term, 1961

*

*

*

* IN DIVORCE

MASTER'S REPORT

TO THE HONORABLE JOHN J. PENTZ, PRESIDENT JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

The Master appointed by Your Honorable Court in the above proceedings of Divorce to take the testimony of the witnesses in the case and return the same with a report thereof respectfully reports:

I SCHEDULE

4 / 17 / 61

Original Complaint in Divorce filed, one (1) copy certified to the Sheriff of Clearfield County.

4 / 24 / 61

Sheriff's Return on original complaint in Divorce filed, showing that the Original Complaint was filed on Defendant, Louise M. Calliari at her residence at 417 3/4 W. DuBois Avenue, DuBois, Pa., on April 22, 1961 at 6:00 P.M.

5 / 22 / 61

By Motion on Watch Book, William C. Chase, Esq., was appointed Master to take testimony and report the same with form of Decree to the Court.

5 / 29 / 61

Notice of Master's Hearing was served personally on the Defendant on May 29, 1961 at 4:00 P.M. at her residence at 417-3/4 W. DuBois Avenue, DuBois, Pa., by James R. Beers, a Patrolman of the Police Department of the City of DuBois, Clearfield County, Pennsylvania.

6 / 20 / 61

At 10:00 A.M. DST, Master called the hearing at his office in the Keystone Building, Clearfield, Pa. at which time, John B. Gates, Esq., Attorney for the Plaintiff; the Plaintiff, Silvio M. Calliari and Irvin Serafini, witness for the Plaintiff, attended. The Defendant did not appear either in person or by counsel.

II SERVICE OR PROCESS

The original Complaint in Divorce was served on the Defendant, Louise M. Calliari, by the Sheriff of Clearfield County at her residence at 417-3/4 W. DuBois Avenue, DuBois, Pa., by handing to her a true and attested copy of the original Complaint at 6:15 P.M. on April 22, 1961. Notice of Master's Hearing was served personally on the Defendant, Louise M. Calliari on May 29, 1961 at 4:00 P.M. at her residence at 417-3/4 W. DuBois Avenue, DuBois, Pa., by James R. Beers, a Patrolman of the Police Department of the City of DuBois, Clearfield County, Pa..

On June 20, 1961 Master's Hearing was called at 10:00 A.M. in the Office of the Master, William C. Chase, Esq., Keystone Building, Clearfield, Pennsylvania, and was attended by the Plaintiff, Silvio M. Calliari, witness for the Plaintiff, Irvin Serafini, and John B. Gates, Attorney for Plaintiff. The Defendant did not appear either in person or by counsel.

III CAUSES OF DIVORCE

Indignities to the person.

IV FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Marriage---The Plaintiff, Silvio M. Calliari and the Defendant, Louise M. Calliari, were married on October 25, 1947, at DuBois, Pennsylvania, by Father Cujari, a Roman Catholic Priest, in the St. Catherines Roman Church.

2. Residence---At the time of their marriage, both the Plaintiff and Defendant were residents and citizens of Clearfield County, Pennsylvania.

3. Citizenship---Both the Plaintiff and Defendant are citizens of the United States, and the Plaintiff is a resident and citizen of Penfield, Huston Township, Clearfield County, Pennsylvania, and the Defendant is a resident and citizen of the City of DuBois, Clearfield County, Pennsylvania, and the

Plaintiff has been a resident and citizen of Clearfield County since 1934.

4. Age and Occupation---The Plaintiff is thirty-nine (39) years of age and is employed as a meter proofer at the Rockwell Manufacturing Company. The Defendant is thirty-three (33) ayears of age and is a house wife.

5. Children---There were three children born to this marriage; Thomas Calliari, age 12; Gary Calliari, age 11; and Susan Calliari, age 9, all of whom are living with the Defendant at her residence in the City of DuBois, Clearfield County, Pennsylvania.

6. The Master finds it a fact that the Defendant, Louise M. Calliari, is not a member of any branch of the Armed Forces of the United States of America, and therefore, the Defendant cannot claim the benefit of the Soldiers and Sailors Relief Act.

7. Findings on the Merits--- The Plaintiff and Defendant were married on October 25, 1947 at DuBois, Pennsylvania by Father Cujari, a Roman Catholic Priest. Subseq Aftero their marriage, the Plaintiff and Defendant went to housekeeping in Penfield in a rented house where they lived for four (4) years. Then they bought a home in Penfield in 1952 and lived there until the time of their separation in August of 1960. It appears that the parties got along well together until June, 1960 when the Defendant, on one particular occasion in Gary, Indiana disappeared for a considerable length of time with a stranger she met at a dance. Immediately after returning home from Gary, the Defendant became dis-satisfied with living in Penfield and wanted to move to DuBois as she said she had to be around people. The Plaintiff even offered to provide a home for his wife and family in DuBois, but she refused to do so. There are several evidences that since their separation, the Defendant has been seen in the company of one Harry Drum at public places conducting her-self in such a way as could only be considered improper. The Plaintiff, at

one time, visited the Defendant at her home in DuBois, where she was with the said Harry Drum and her physical appearance and the fact that the lights were out in the room where she and Drum were, certainly showed that there at least was some improper conduct on the part of the Defendant. The Defendant has been seen on numerous occasions with the said Harry drum in the City of DuBois and vicinity and this has not only been seen by the Plaintiff, but at his work has been reminded of her conduct by his fellow employees. The Plaintiff is corroborated in this testimony by his witness, Irvin Serafini, who has also seen the Defendant on numerous occasions in drinking public/places with various men. The Plaintiff had to receive medical attention in March, 1960 and was in the Maple Avenue Hospital for a week for the treatment of a stomach ulcer and as a result of this condition, he lost two (2) months work. The evidence discloses that his wife's conduct was embarrassing and humiliating to the Plaintiff, as he was told about it by his fellow employees, which upset him considerable. The testimony also discloses that, in the opinion of his Doctor, his nervous condition and the ulcer was aggravated by his wife's conduct. Although most of the indignities as averred by the Plaintiff and his witness occurred after their separation, such conduct is admissable for shedding light upon behavior of the Defendant. Boyer v. Boyer, 183 Penna. Superior Court 260; Olbum v. Olbum 183 Penna. Superior Court 5. The Defendant's conduct before their separation and since has shown manifest hatred and distain towards him. In the opinion of the Master, the Plainifff has shown a course of conduct on the part of the Defendant toward the Plaintiff during the time they were married and living together and since the Defendant left the Plaintiff, such as to render his condition intolerable and life burdensome, to such an extent that in the opinion of the Master, he is entitled to a divorce on the grounds of indignities to the person.


V. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. That the proceedings are in accordance with "The Divorce Law" and Rules of Court relative to such proceedings.
2. That the Court has jurisdiction of the parties and subject matter of this action.
3. The Plaintiff is a residence and citizen of Huston Township, Penfield, Clearfield County, Pennsylvania, and the Defendant is a resident and citizen of the City of DuBois, Clearfield County, Pennsylvania.
4. That a sufficient cause for divorce on the grounds of indignities to the person has been established on the facts.


VI. RECOMMENDATION.

Your Master recommends that a Decree of Divorce "a vinculo matrimonii" be granted to Sylvio M. Calliari, in accordance with the Prayer of the Plaintiff, and a form of Decree is hereby attached.

Respectfully submitted,



In the Court of Common Pleas of Clearfield County, Pennsylvania



SYLVIO M. CALLIARI } Of February Term, 1961
No. 516

VERSUS
LOUISE M. CALLIARI

DIVORCE

And Now, the 10th day of July 1961, the report of the Master is acknowledged. We approve his findings and recommendations; except as to

We, therefore, DECREE that Sylvio M. Calliari be divorced and forever separated from the nuptial ties and bonds of matrimony heretofore contracted between himself and Louise M. Calliari. Thereupon all the rights, duties or claims accruing to either of said parties in pursuance of said marriage, shall cease and determine, and each of them shall be at liberty to marry again as though they had never been heretofore married, except that

The Prothonotary is directed to pay the Court costs, including Master's fees, as noted herein, out of the deposits received and then remit the balance to the libellant. No Decree to issue until the costs be fully paid. We do further award to the said Sylvio M. Calliari his costs expended in this action.

ATTEST
[Signature]
Prothonotary

BY THE COURT
[Signature]
President Judge

In The Court of Common Pleas
Of Clearfield County, Penna.

No. 516 February Term 19 61

SYLVIO M. CALLIARI
Libellant

VERSUS
LOUISE M. CALLIARI
Respondent

DECREE

Attorney

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF CLEARFIELD COUNTY, PENNA.

SYLVIO M. CALLIARI

* No. 516, February Term, 1961

*

-VS-

*

*

LOUISE M. CALLIARI

* IN DIVORCE

MASTER'S HEARING

Master's Hearing was called on Tuesday, June 20, 1961 at 10:00 A.M.

DST in the Office of the Master, William C. Chase, Esq., Keystone Building,
Clearfield, Pennsylvania.

Appearances: John B. Gates, Esq., Attorney for Plaintiff; Sylvio M.
Calliari, Plaintiff; and Irvin Serafini, witness for the Plaintiff.

The Defendant did not appear either in person or by counsel.

SYLVIO M. CALLIARI, being duly sworn according to law, testified as
follows:

BY MR. GATES:

Q. What is your name?

A. Sylvio M. Calliari.

Q. Mr. Calliari, where do you live?

A. Penfield.

Q. In what County is Penfield?

A. Clearfield County.

Q. Are you the Plaintiff in this divorce action?

A. Yes.

Q. What is the name of the Defendant?

A. Louise M. Calliari.

Q. How old is your wife?

A. Thirty-three (33).

Q. How old are you?

A. Thirty-nine (39).

Q. Mr. Calliari, when were you married?

A. October 25, 1947.

Q. What happened on that occasion?
A. Well, we went to this dance and we had my buddy and his brother with us. My wife danced with him and was gone for about a half hour and we looked for them and couldn't find them and then they finally came back and I asked her if anything was wrong and she said "NO" and said that she was sorry she was gone so long.

Q. Did anything more happen that evening?
A. No.

Q. What happened when you came home?
A. When we came home my wife said she was tired of living in Penfield and that she wanted to move to DuBois where there were more people, because she had to be around people.

Q. Did you give your wife an answer on this occasion?
A. I told her our home was in Penfield and I could see no reason for moving to DuBois.

Q. What happened then?
A. She called her sister and her sister came down and took her clothes and they left.

Q. Did the children go with her?
A. Yes.

Q. Did you give her any other reason for leaving other than telling her that your home was in Penfield?
A. No, that was it.

Q. After that what did you do?
A. I made several trips to see her and told her I was willing to see about a home in DuBois.

Q. What did she do?
A. She refused to go with me to look for a home in DuBois or anything.

Q. Are you saying that she refused to live with you in DuBois?
A. Yes.

Q. Did you make any other effort to get your wife to help bring your family together?
A. Yes, I went to the Priest and talked to him, and she was supposed to go see him and she wouldn't go to see him.

Q. Where was this Priest from?

A. St Catherines Church.

Q. What was his name?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Was he young?

A. Yes.

Q. Did you and your wife ever go back to live together?

A. No.

Q. Are you paying any support for your children and your wife?

A. Yes, a hundred four dollars (\$104.00) a month.

Q. Does this include the children plus the rent?

A. Yes.

Q. Now, Sylvio, have you seen your wife since your separation?

A. I saw her when I talked to her about the house in DuBois.

Q. Did you happen to see her last Fall or in December?

A. No.

Q. Did anything happen last December?

A. I was told by people she was running around.

Q. What did you do?

A. I didn't believe it at first, so then I went in December to the place she was living, about 2:00 in the morning and knocked on the door and there she was with this Harry Drum.

Q. Were there any lights on in the house?

A. There were no lights in the room they were in, but there was a light in the kitchen.

Q. Then what happened?

A. When I knocked on the door and she came to the door, he ran to the kitchen and hid behind the partition.

Q. What was the condition of her appearance?

A. Her clothes were wrinkled and mussed up and her ~~hair~~ hair was all torn up.

Q. Did you leave then?

A. I went down to her sister and got her and we came back up and knocked on the door, but she wouldn't leave us in.

Q. You mean that she wouldn't leave you or her sister in the house?

A. Yes.

Q. Did she make any statement through the door to you?

A. She told us to get out and that she didn't want to see us.

Q. Was Harry Drum still in the house?

A. Yes.

Q. Were the children still living there?

A. Yes.

Q. What day of the week was this?

A. Saturday morning.

Q. What time?

A. Around 2:00 in the morning.

Q. Have you ever seen Harry Drum and your wife together since that time?

A. I saw her and him together in the car on different occasions and my children have even told me that they have dropped them off at the movies and then they would leave together and then come back and pick them up.

Q. Are you saying that the children told you that Mrs. Calliari and this Harry Drum would drive them to the movies and leave them off there and then Mrs. Calliari and Harry Drum would come back later on and pick them up on or in the car?

A. Yes.

Q. How many times have you seen them together in the car with the children?

A. Two or three times.

Q. Has that been since December?

A. Yes.

Q. Have you ever been requested to appear in Court to testify at a desertion hearing for Harry Drum?

A. Yes, his wife called me one day and asked me if I would testify for her against her husband. I told her I couldn't make it.

Q. You have friend that told you about seeing your wife with Harry Drum on occasions?

A. Yes.

Q. Has this activity on the part of your wife affected your health?

A. Yes, it has.

Q. What has happened to you?

A. Well, I have had an ulcer for about five years and after that happened, I landed in the Hospital, the Maple Avenue Hospital in DuBois.

Q. When did this occur?

A. in March of this year.

Q. How long were you in the hospital?

A. I was in there for a week.

Q. Did you recuperate after you left the hospital?

A. Yes.

Q. How much work did you lose from this?

A. Two month's work.

Q. Has this ulcer been agravated by your nervous condition?

A. The Doctor says it has.

Q. Did you give your wife any reason for leaving you?

A. No.

Q. Is there any agreement or collusion between you and your wife as to the bringing of this divorce action?

A. No.

Q. When was the last time you and your wife lived together as man and wife?

A. August, 1960.

BY THE MASTER: WILLIAM C. CHASE.

Q. What is your occupation?

A. Meter Proofer.

Q. What is your wife's occupation?

A. a housewife.

Q. How long have you lived in Clearfield County?

A. Since 1934.

Q. You said you were under Doctor's care, what is his name?

A. Dr. P.J. Volionsky.

Q. Did the men at work ever tell you about your wife running around with this man Drum?

A. Yes.

Q. How did that affect you?

A. It was embarrassing to me and affected my nerves.

Q. During the time you lived with your wife, did you do everything possible to maintain a proper and good home for her and the children?

A. Yes.

Q. Did you give her any reason for leaving?

A. No.

IRVIN SERAFINI, being duly sworn according to law, testified as follows:

BY MR. GATES:

Q. Mr. Serafini, do you know Louise M. Calliari?

A. Very well.

Q. How long have you known her?

A. Since about 1958.

Q. Do you know her well enough to recognize her?

A. Yes.

Q. Will you state whether or not you have recognized Mrs. Calliari within the last six months?

A. I saw her at Craw's Bar in DuBois.

Q. Was that at night?

A. Yes.

Q. Was she with her husband?

A. No.

Q. Who was she with?

A. She was with another woman.

Q. What was she doing?

A. She was dancing.

Q. Who was she dancing with?

A. With men and women.

Q. How long were you there?

A. From ten till one.

Q. Was Mrs. Calliari there during the time you were there?

A. Yes.

Q. Was she there when you got there?

A. Yes.

Q. Was she there when you left?

A. Yes.

Q. Did you ever see her after that?

A. Yes, I saw her a mont later in the same place.

Q. What was she doing?

A. She was doing about the same as before.

Q. Was Sylvio there?

A. No.

Q. You are sure it was Mrs. Calliari?

A. Yes.

Q. Would you say she was acting as any normal married woman should?

A. No, not if she was my wife.

Q. How long have you known Sylvio?

A. All my life.

Q. Have you noticed in the last six or seven months any change in his physical or nervous condition.

A. Yes, I have.

Q. What was that change?

A. He was always complaining about his ulcer and about his stomach hurting..

Q. Has that condition gotten worse?

A. Off and on, yes.

Q. Do you know of any agreement between Mr. Calliari and his wife as to the bringng of this divorce action?

A. No.

Q. Would you say that Mrs. Calliari's conduct in Craw's Restaurant was not fitting and proper for a married woman without her husband being there?

A. I don't think it was right.

Q. By Whom and where were you married?
A. Father Cujari at St. Catherines Church in DuBois.

Q. What was your wife's maiden name?
A. Louise Camise.

Q. Prior to your marriage, where did your wife live?
A. Broad Street, in DuBois.

Q. Were there any children born to this marriage?
A. Yes. Three (3).

Q. What are the names of these children?
A. Thomas Calliari, Gary Calliari, Susan Calliari.

Q. How old is Thomas?
A. Twelve(12). Gary is eleven (11) and Susan nine (9).

Q. With whom are these children presently residing?
A. With their Mother.

Q. Do you know where they are living at the present time?
A. Right now, I don't.

Q. Were or do you know where they had been living?
A. In DuBois.

Q. At what address?
A. 417 West DuBois Avenue.

Q. Mr. Calliari, when you say you don't know where they are living right now, do you mean that they have moved within the last week or two?
A. Yes, they have.

Q. Do you know where they have moved?
A. No, I don't.

Q. After your marriage, did you go to housekeeping?
A. Yes.

Q. Where did you go to housekeeping?
A. In Penfield.

Q. Did you buy a home or rent a home?
A. We rented a house at the beginning.

Q. How long did you rent the house?
A. About four (4) years.

Q. Then what happened?

A. Then we bought a home.

Q. Where did you buy a home?

A. In Penfield.

Q. What year was that when you bought your new home?

A. 1952.

Q. Now, I understand that you and your wife have separated?

A. Yes.

Q. When did this separation take place?

A. In August of 1960.

Q. Getting back to this property you own or did own in Penfield, what happened?

A. We sold it.

Q. When?

A. In February of 1961.

Q. At the present time, do you own any property jointly with your wife?

A. No I do not.

Q. Are you employed?

A. Yes.

Q. By whom?

A. Rockwell Manufacturing Company of DuBois.

Q. How long have you been employed?

A. About sixteen (16) years now.

Q. Is there anything else you are doing?

A. Yes, I am running a Youth Center and Restaurant in Penfield.

Q. After your marriage in 1947, how did things go?

A. Things went pretty good then.


Q. When was the first evidence of any discord or that things weren't as good as they were at first?

A. In June, 1960, when we took a trip to Gary to see my buddy.

Q. Where did your buddy live?

A. Gary, Indiana.

Walter
Received by 10, 1961
James J. Hagerman & Son
Clearfield, Pa.

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS, OF CLEARFIELD COUNTY, PENNA. NO. 516, FEBRUARY TERM, 1961 IN DIVORCE	
SYLVIO M. CALLIARI	
-VS-	
LOUISE M. CALLIARI	
MASTER'S REPORT Uncontested	
Master's Fee	\$85.00
Constables cost	3.00
	<u>\$88.00</u>
	
LAW OFFICES OF CHASE & CHASE KEYSTONE BUILDING CLEARFIELD, PENNSYLVANIA	