

January Ter.
Bridge across

versus

Bell Township

Contents :

W. B. Odell
J. W. Elliott
J. M. Hickok
A. H. Fairbank
Andrews Gang
Joseph Young
H. E. Walker
Alex. Harbord
Austin Beatty
Philip Odell
Wm. Odell
Cornad Rupert
John McArthur
Thomas Miller
James McElinsky
David Miller
Dr. M. Davidson
Wm. A. Davidson
James A. Miller
Robt. Beatty
J. O. Beatty
J. J. Davidson
J. Gordon Simsbury
G. W. Baker
Rufin. Rupert
J. G. Snyder
Thomas McSimsbury
Samuel Hall
R. S. Ellis
G. W. Byers
James Fulmer
J. C. Danielson

David L. Kaufmann
August Miller,
William R. Dehaven.
Andrew B. Holt
~~John~~ James West
A W. Beth
Jacob S. Jeff
J. L. Edgman
~~E. A. Holt~~
Eli Ward -
Jackson Kemaly
Compton miller
Wm Mahaffey
J M Davidson
J. H. Campbell
J. N. A. Blackburn
J. A. Wierodimus
C. R. Wetzel,
David H. more
George W. Mott
R. E. Mahaffy
M. W. Shady
David Sunderland
A. J. Gony
W. T. Shasly
J. W. W. fur
J. O'Brien, Walter E.
H. C. McLean
W. H. Newcomen
S. W. Wetzel
A. A. W. H.

Philip Mager

To the Hon. Judges within named
we the undersigned persons appointed
by the within Order of Court, to view
the site for a Bridge, therein mentioned,
Respectfully report. That having given
Notice of the time and place of meeting, ac-
-cording to the act of assembly, we met
on the Ground proposed for the said
Bridge, on the 4th of Nov. 1880, and one of
the viewers, not being present, we adjourned,
and met again on the 11th day of January 1881.
And being all present, at the view of the site
proposed for the said Bridge, and being all
sworn, or affirmed, in pursuance of the
said Order, we have viewed the said site
proposed for the said Bridge, and find,
that the location is a very favorable one.
And we are of opinion that a Bridge at
that point is necessary for public use.

The Bridge in our opinion should be built
at least sixteen (16) feet high, above low
water mark. and would require a span,
one hundred and eight (108) feet in length.
and although stone for abutments,
and material for filling &c are con-
-venient, we are of opinion that
the expense of building said Bridge,
would be too great and burdensome

for the inhabitants of one Township,
we would recommend that County as-
- sist them in building said Bridge.

Witness our hands this
11th day of January 1881,

S. J. McClosky,
Robert Mahaffey,
Amos W. McGee

} Vicars.

Clearfield County, ss:

At a Court of Quarter Sessions of the Peace of the county of Clearfield, held at Clearfield, in and for said county, on the 7th day of October A. D. 1880, before Judges of said Court, upon a petition of sundry inhabitants of the Township of Bell

in said county, setting forth that a bridge is much wanted over the Susquehanna River at the place where the public highway to New Washington crosses the said river at or near Widow Metzger in the Township of Bell aforesaid the ford there being frequently rendered impassable by reason of ice and high water and that the expense of erecting said bridge would be too heavy and burthen some upon the inhabitants of said Township

and therefore praying the Court to appoint proper persons to view ~~and lay out~~ the same according to law,

whereupon the Court, upon due consideration had of the premises, do order and appoint D. S. McCloskey Robert Mahaffey & J. W. Miller who, after being respectively sworn or affirmed to perform the duties of their appointment with impartiality and fidelity, are to view the grounds proposed for said ~~road~~ ^{bridge} and if they view the same and any two of the actual viewers agree that there is occasion for such ~~road~~ ^{bridge}, they shall proceed to lay out the same agreeable to the desire of the petitioners, as may be, having respect to the best ground for a ~~road~~ ^{bridge} and the shortest distance, and in such manner as to do least injury to private property, and state particularly whether they judge the same necessary for a ~~public or private road~~ ^{bridge}, together with a plot or draft of the same, with the ~~courses and distances and reference to the improvements through which it passes, and shall also procure releases of damages from persons through whose lands said road may pass, or failing to procure such releases, shall assess the same, if any sustained, and shall make report thereof to the next Court of Quarter Sessions to be held for the said county, in which report they shall state that they have been sworn and affirmed according to law. Notice is directed to be given to the owners or occupants of seated lands through which the within road is intended to pass, of the time of the view, according to the 147th rule of Court.~~

BY THE COURT,

Ch. Morris
Clerk.

RELEASE OF DAMAGES.

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS: That we, the undersigned, owners of the lands through which the road located by the viewers, under the annexed order, passes, for and in consideration of the sum of One Dollar to us respectively paid by the _____ at and before the ensealing and delivery hereof, have remised, released and forever quit-claimed and do hereby remise, lease and forever quit-claim to the said _____ all damages that may arise to us respectively by reason of the location and opening of the said Road; so that neither we, nor any of us, nor any person claiming under us, can or may hereafter ask, sue for, demand, have or receive any damages for injuries arising or growing out of the location and opening of the road aforesaid.

Witness our hands and seals, this _____ day of _____
A. D. 188 .



ASSESSMENT OF DAMAGES.

The following named persons, having refused to release the damages to which they respectively may be entitled by reason of the location and the opening of the said road in the annexed return described, we, the undersigned, viewers, under oath in pursuance of our duty, under the Act of Assembly do assess their damages and make report thereof, as follows :

To _____ the sum of _____

To _____ the sum of _____

To _____ the sum of _____

Witness our hands this _____ day of _____ A. D. 188 .

NOTE.—In case of a Private Road, the release must be executed in favor of the petitioner for said road.

Also— Viewers will carefully note the number of days employed and set the amount out at the foot of their return.

Reviewers cannot interfere with damages assessed by the original viewers, except so far as the location may be changed by the reviewers.

N. B.—If the viewers believe the parties are not entitled to damages, taking into consideration the advantages as well as the disadvantages of the road, they will report to that effect.

No 1 January

No. 1 ~~25th~~ Session, 1880

ORDER
To view and lay out a Road

For Public Use in the township

of ~~West~~ Clearfield county.

And now 9th July 1881
Report confirmed
in Si

By the County

March 22nd 1881

Proposed by the

Genesee L. House

James

Filed 17 Jan'y 1881

FEES \$1, ~~25~~ paid by ~~James~~ ~~James~~ ~~James~~

REPUBLICAN PRINT.

Viewers Bill

Robert Macraffay	1 day	3 miles
James McGee	1 do	2 do
John McCloskey	2 "	19 " "

990